

# GENERALIZED FIXED POINT ALGEBRAS AND SQUARE-INTEGRABLE GROUP ACTIONS

RALF MEYER

**ABSTRACT.** We analyze Rieffel's construction of generalized fixed point algebras in the setting of group actions on Hilbert modules. Let  $G$  be a locally compact group acting on a  $C^*$ -algebra  $B$ . We construct a Hilbert module  $F$  over the reduced crossed product of  $G$  and  $B$ , using a pair  $(E, R)$ , where  $E$  is an equivariant Hilbert module over  $B$  and  $R$  is a dense subspace of  $E$  with certain properties. The generalized fixed point algebra is the  $C^*$ -algebra of compact operators on  $F$ . Any Hilbert module over the reduced crossed product arises by this construction for a pair  $(E, R)$  that is unique up to isomorphism.

A necessary condition for the existence of  $R$  is that  $E$  be square-integrable. The consideration of square-integrable representations of Abelian groups on Hilbert space shows that this condition is not sufficient and that different choices for  $R$  may yield different generalized fixed point algebras.

If  $B$  is proper in Kasparov's sense, there is a unique  $R$  with the required properties. Thus the generalized fixed point algebra only depends on  $E$ .

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $(G, X, \alpha)$  be a dynamical system, consisting of a locally compact group  $G$ , a locally compact space  $X$ , and a continuous left action  $\alpha: G \times X \rightarrow X$ . The action is called *proper* iff for all compact subsets  $K, L \subseteq X$ , the set of  $g \in G$  with  $\alpha_g(K) \cap L \neq \emptyset$  is (relatively) compact. Proper actions have many nice properties. For instance, the orbit space  $G \backslash X$  is again a locally compact space. Rieffel [9] has initiated a program to extend the notions of proper action and orbit space to noncommutative dynamical systems, that is, group actions on  $C^*$ -algebras.

Suppose that the group  $G$  is compact. Then all actions of  $G$  on  $C^*$ -algebras are proper. The role of the orbit space is played by the *fixed point algebra*

$$A^G := \{a \in A \mid \alpha_g(a) = a \text{ for all } g \in G\}.$$

This is reasonable because  $A^G \cong C_0(G \backslash X)$  if  $A = C_0(X)$ .

If  $G$  fails to be compact, there are several ways to define “proper” actions on  $C^*$ -algebras. The weakest reasonable notion is *square-integrability*. It has interesting applications in equivariant Kasparov theory [5] but is not enough to construct an “orbit space”, that is, a generalized fixed point algebra. A slightly more restrictive assumption is *continuous square-integrability*, which is exactly what is needed to construct a generalized fixed point algebra. Another much more restrictive notion of properness is due to Kasparov (see below). To avoid a conflict of notation, we only use the word “proper” in Kasparov's sense.

We are going to explain square-integrability and a variant of Rieffel's construction of generalized fixed point algebras. For both purposes, it is very illuminating to allow group actions on Hilbert modules, not just on  $C^*$ -algebras. Hilbert modules are more flexible because there are always plenty of adjointable operators between

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 46L55, 46L08; Secondary 22D10.

*Key words and phrases*. square-integrable representation, proper action, Hilbert module, Morita equivalence, generalized fixed point algebra.

them. Let  $B$  be a  $G$ - $C^*$ -algebra, let  $\mathcal{E}$  be a  $G$ -equivariant Hilbert module over  $B$ , and let  $\xi \in \mathcal{E}$ . Denote the action of  $G$  on  $\mathcal{E}$  by  $\gamma$ . Define

$$\begin{aligned} (1) \quad & \langle \xi | : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow C_b(G, B), & (\langle \xi | \eta)(g) &:= \langle \gamma_g(\xi) | \eta \rangle, \\ (2) \quad & |\xi \rangle : C_c(G, B) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}, & |\xi \rangle f &:= \int_G \gamma_g(\xi) \cdot f(g) dg. \end{aligned}$$

The operators  $|\xi \rangle$  and  $\langle \xi |$  are  $G$ -equivariant and adjoint to each other with respect to the pairing  $\langle f_1 | f_2 \rangle := \int_G f_1(g)^* f_2(g) dg$  between  $C_c(G, B)$  and  $C_b(G, B)$ .

We call  $\xi$  *square-integrable* iff  $\langle \xi | \eta \in L^2(G, B)$  for all  $\eta \in \mathcal{E}$ . Let  $\mathcal{E}_{\text{si}} \subseteq \mathcal{E}$  be the subspace of square-integrable elements. If  $\xi \in \mathcal{E}_{\text{si}}$ , then we may view  $\langle \xi |$  as an operator  $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow L^2(G, B)$ . The adjoint of  $\langle \xi |$  exists and extends  $|\xi \rangle$  to an operator  $L^2(G, B) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ . Let  $\mathbb{B}^G(L^2(G, B), \mathcal{E})$  be the space of equivariant, adjointable operators  $L^2(G, B) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ . Then

$$\mathcal{E} \supseteq \mathcal{E}_{\text{si}} \cong |\mathcal{E}_{\text{si}} \rangle \subseteq \mathbb{B}^G(L^2(G, B), \mathcal{E}).$$

If  $G$  is compact, then  $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}_{\text{si}}$ . If  $G$  is discrete, then  $|\mathcal{E}_{\text{si}} \rangle = \mathbb{B}^G(L^2(G, B), \mathcal{E})$ . We examine the operators  $|\xi \rangle$  and  $\langle \xi |$  and the space  $\mathcal{E}_{\text{si}}$  in detail in Section 4.

We call  $\mathcal{E}$  *square-integrable* iff  $\mathcal{E}_{\text{si}}$  is dense in  $\mathcal{E}$ . We call  $B$  *square-integrable* iff it is square-integrable as a Hilbert module over itself. Square-integrable  $C^*$ -algebras are called “proper” in [8] and [5]. The name “square-integrable” is motivated by the relationship to square-integrable group representations observed by Rieffel [8]. Square-integrable Hilbert modules are characterized by the existence of many equivariant, adjointable operators to  $L^2(G, B)$ . This gives rise to an equivariant version of Kasparov’s Stabilization Theorem [5]: A countably generated Hilbert module is a direct summand of  $L^2(G, B)^\infty$  if and only if it is square-integrable.

The fundamental example of a square-integrable Hilbert module is  $L^2(G, B)$ . All elements of  $C_c(G, B)$  are square-integrable. The closure of

$$|C_c(G, B) \rangle := \{|K \rangle \mid K \in C_c(G, B)\}$$

may be identified with the reduced crossed product  $C_r^*(G, B)$ . We always think of  $C_r^*(G, B)$  as a subalgebra of  $\mathbb{B}^G(L^2(G, B))$  in this way.

Our notation emphasizes that  $|\xi \rangle$  is part of an inner product  $\langle \xi | \eta \rangle := \langle \xi | \circ \eta \rangle$  on  $\mathcal{E}_{\text{si}}$ . Since we want Hilbert modules over  $C_r^*(G, B)$ , we need subsets  $\mathcal{R} \subseteq \mathcal{E}_{\text{si}}$  for which  $\langle \mathcal{R} | \mathcal{R} \rangle$  is contained in  $C_r^*(G, B)$ . Following Exel [3], we call such a subset *relatively continuous*. Let  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{R}) \subseteq \mathbb{B}^G(L^2(G, B), \mathcal{E})$  be the closed linear span of  $|\mathcal{R} \rangle \circ C_r^*(G, B)$ . Then

$$(3) \quad \mathcal{F} \circ C_r^*(G, B) \subseteq \mathcal{F}, \quad \mathcal{F}^* \circ \mathcal{F} \subseteq C_r^*(G, B),$$

so that  $\mathcal{F}$  becomes a Hilbert module over  $C_r^*(G, B)$  with respect to the inner product  $\langle \xi | \eta \rangle := \xi^* \circ \eta$  and right module structure  $\xi \cdot x := \xi \circ x$ . The closed linear span  $\text{Fix}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{R})$  of  $\mathcal{F} \circ \mathcal{F}^* \subseteq \mathbb{B}^G(\mathcal{E})$  is the *generalized fixed point algebra*.

There is a canonical isomorphism between  $\text{Fix}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{R})$  and the  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathbb{K}(\mathcal{F})$  of compact operators on  $\mathcal{F}$ . Thus  $\text{Fix}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{R})$  is Morita-Rieffel equivalent to an ideal in  $C_r^*(G, B)$ . For compact  $G$ , we get an ordinary fixed point algebra because  $\text{Fix}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}) = \mathbb{K}(\mathcal{E})^G$ .

To exclude degenerate cases, we usually assume that  $\mathcal{R}$  is dense in  $\mathcal{E}$ .

There are two obvious questions: Is square-integrability enough to guarantee the existence of a dense, relatively continuous subspace  $\mathcal{R} \subseteq \mathcal{E}$ ? Are  $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{R})$  and  $\text{Fix}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{R})$  independent of  $\mathcal{R}$ ? Unfortunately, the answer to both questions is negative. Counterexamples come from square-integrable representations of Abelian groups on Hilbert space. This situation can be analyzed completely (Section 8). The problems are due to the subtle difference between continuous and measurable fields of Hilbert spaces over the dual group.

Positive answers can be obtained if we require much more than square-integrability. Following Kasparov, we call  $B$  *proper* iff there are a proper  $G$ -space  $X$  and an essential, equivariant  $*$ -homomorphism from  $C_0(X)$  into the *center* of the multiplier algebra  $\mathcal{M}(B)$  of  $B$ . If  $B$  is proper, then any Hilbert  $B, G$ -module  $\mathcal{E}$  is square-integrable, and  $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{R})$  and  $\text{Fix}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{R})$  do not depend on  $\mathcal{R}$ . Actually, we can do with slightly less than properness. We only need that the induced action of  $G$  on the primitive ideal space of  $B$  is proper.

Our main theoretical result is that the construction  $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{R}) \mapsto \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{R})$  can be inverted. That is, all Hilbert modules over  $C_r^*(G, B)$  are of the form  $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{R})$  for suitable  $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{R})$ , and  $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{R})$  is unique up to isomorphism if we impose further conditions on  $\mathcal{R}$ . Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a Hilbert module over  $C_r^*(G, B)$ . Define

$$(4) \quad \mathcal{E} := \mathcal{F} \otimes_{C_r^*(G, B)} L^2(G, B), \quad \mathcal{R} := \mathcal{F} \otimes C_c(G, B) \subseteq \mathcal{E}.$$

The  $G$ -action on  $\mathcal{E}$  comes from the trivial action on  $\mathcal{F}$  and the usual action on  $L^2(G, B)$ . Then  $\mathcal{R}$  is dense in  $\mathcal{E}$  and relatively continuous, and  $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{R}) \cong \mathcal{F}$ .

The basis for our work is a detailed analysis of the construction of  $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{R})$ . It splits into two parts. First, a relatively continuous subset  $\mathcal{R} \subseteq \mathcal{E}$  yields a closed linear subspace  $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathbb{B}^G(L^2(G, B), \mathcal{E})$  satisfying (3). The key idea here is the map  $\xi \mapsto |\xi\rangle$ . Secondly,  $\mathcal{F}$  is turned into a Hilbert module over  $C_r^*(G, B)$ . Only the first part uses special properties of groups. The second part should work equally well for coactions or actions of Hopf algebras. To simplify future extensions of this kind, we treat the second part in greater generality.

Namely, we replace  $L^2(G, B)$  and  $C_r^*(G, B)$  by  $\mathcal{L}$  and  $A$ , where  $\mathcal{L}$  is any Hilbert  $B, G$ -module and  $A$  is an essential  $C^*$ -subalgebra of  $\mathbb{B}^G(\mathcal{L})$ . A closed linear subspace  $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathbb{B}^G(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{E})$  is called a *concrete Hilbert  $A$ -module* iff  $\mathcal{F} \circ A \subseteq \mathcal{F}$  and  $\mathcal{F}^* \circ \mathcal{F} \subseteq A$ . We call  $\mathcal{F}$  *essential* iff the linear span of  $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{L})$  is dense in  $\mathcal{E}$ . A concrete Hilbert  $A$ -module carries a canonical Hilbert  $A$ -module structure. We view the embedding  $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathbb{B}^G(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{E})$  as a *representation* of  $\mathcal{F}$ . The definition of a concrete Hilbert module is relative to the representation  $A \subseteq \mathbb{B}^G(\mathcal{L})$ . This has the consequence that all *essential* representations of  $\mathcal{F}$  are isomorphic to a canonical representation

$$\mathcal{F} \cong \mathbb{K}(A, \mathcal{F}) \subseteq \mathbb{B}^G(A \otimes_A \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{F} \otimes_A \mathcal{L}) \cong \mathbb{B}^G(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{F} \otimes_A \mathcal{L}).$$

In particular,  $\mathcal{E} \cong \mathcal{F} \otimes_A \mathcal{L}$  if  $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathbb{B}^G(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{E})$  is an essential, concrete Hilbert module over  $A$ . The space  $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{R}) \subseteq \mathbb{B}^G(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{E})$  is an essential, concrete Hilbert module over  $C_r^*(G, B)$  if  $\mathcal{R} \subseteq \mathcal{E}$  is dense and relatively continuous. This explains the first half of (4).

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a concrete Hilbert module over  $C_r^*(G, B)$  and let  $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{F}}$  be the set of all  $\xi \in \mathcal{E}_{\text{si}}$  with  $|\xi\rangle \in \mathcal{F}$ . Then  $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{F}}) = \mathcal{F}$ . A subset  $\mathcal{R} \subseteq \mathcal{E}$  is of the form  $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{F}}$  for some concrete Hilbert module  $\mathcal{F}$  over  $C_r^*(G, B)$  if and only if it is relatively continuous and *complete* in an appropriate sense. In addition,  $\mathcal{F}$  is essential iff  $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{F}}$  is dense. A Hilbert module  $\mathcal{E}$  equipped with a dense, complete, relatively continuous subspace  $\mathcal{R} \subseteq \mathcal{E}$  is called a *continuously square-integrable Hilbert module*. This name is motivated by the case  $B = \mathbb{C}$  and  $G$  Abelian, where  $\mathcal{R}$  allows to recover a continuous field of Hilbert spaces from a measurable field. Our analysis shows that  $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{R}) \mapsto \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{R})$  yields a bijection between isomorphism classes of continuously square-integrable Hilbert  $B, G$ -modules and isomorphism classes of Hilbert modules over  $C_r^*(G, B)$ .

For trivial coefficients and groupoids instead of groups, the correspondence between Hilbert modules over  $C_r^*G$  and continuously square-integrable representations of  $G$  on Hilbert space has been observed already by Connes [2]. In order to do index theory on foliated manifolds, he has to deal with the reduced  $C^*$ -algebra of the holonomy groupoid  $G$  of the foliation and the  $KK$ -theoretic description of its  $K$ -theory as  $K_*(C_r^*G) \cong KK_*(\mathbb{C}, C_r^*G)$ . However, we know very little about Hilbert modules

over  $C_r^*G$ . Already the determination of  $K_*(C_r^*G)$  is a major problem. Therefore, Connes replaces a Hilbert module over  $C_r^*G$  by a square-integrable representation on a Hilbert space, equipped with a dense subspace with suitable properties.

Although most of our results can be extended to groupoids *mutatis mutandis*, we have decided not to cover groupoids. Otherwise, we would have to translate the basic theory of square-integrability, which so far has been written down only for groups, and to use more complicated notation, since groupoids do not act on  $C^*$ -algebras but on bundles of  $C^*$ -algebras. These changes would make the article more difficult to read without changing the content significantly.

A basic observation about square-integrable Hilbert modules is that  $\mathcal{E}$  is square-integrable iff  $\mathbb{K}(\mathcal{E})$  is square-integrable. This continues to hold for continuously square-integrable Hilbert modules. We can construct relatively continuous subspaces of  $\mathbb{K}(\mathcal{E})$  from relatively continuous subspaces of  $\mathcal{E}$  and vice versa. These constructions are inverse to each other if the group  $G$  is *exact*, that is, the functor  $C_r^*(G, \sqcup)$  preserves short exact sequences. Otherwise, not all relatively continuous subspaces of  $\mathcal{E}$  come from  $\mathbb{K}(\mathcal{E})$ . Since Abelian groups are exact, the counterexamples in Section 8—which involve group actions on Hilbert spaces to begin with—also yield counterexamples in the realm of group actions on  $C^*$ -algebras.

## 2. SOME CONVENTIONS

Throughout this article,  $G$  is a locally compact group,  $B$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra equipped with a strongly continuous action  $\beta: G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(B)$  of  $G$  or, briefly, a  $G$ - $C^*$ -algebra, and  $\mathcal{E}$  is a  $G$ -equivariant Hilbert module over  $B$  with  $G$ -action  $\gamma$  or, briefly, a *Hilbert  $B, G$ -module*. See, for instance, [5] for details. We denote elements of  $G$  by  $g, g', g_2$ , and fix a left invariant *Haar measure*  $dg$  on  $G$ . Let  $L^2G := L^2(G, dg)$  and let  $G$  act on  $L^2G$  via the left regular representation. Let  $\Delta: G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+^*$  be the *modular function* of  $G$  with the conventions  $d(g^{-1}) = \Delta(g^{-1}) dg$  and  $d(gg_2) = \Delta(g_2) dg$ . We write  $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{E})$  and  $\mathbb{K}(\mathcal{E})$  for the  $C^*$ -algebras of *adjointable* and *compact* operators on  $\mathcal{E}$  and denote the  $C^*$ -algebra of  $G$ -equivariant, adjointable operators on  $\mathcal{E}$  by  $\mathbb{B}^G(\mathcal{E})$ .

Since *tensor products of Hilbert modules* are very important for us, we recall the definition. Let  $A$  be another  $G$ - $C^*$ -algebra, let  $\mathcal{E}_1$  be a Hilbert  $A, G$ -module and let  $\mathcal{E}$  be a Hilbert  $B, G$ -module. Let  $\phi: A \rightarrow \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{E})$  be an equivariant  $*$ -homomorphism. Then  $\mathcal{E}_1 \otimes_A \mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}_1 \otimes_\phi \mathcal{E}$  is a Hilbert  $B, G$ -module. It is the completion of the algebraic tensor product  $\mathcal{E}_1 \otimes^{\text{alg}} \mathcal{E}$  with respect to the inner product

$$(5) \quad \langle x_1 \otimes \xi_1 \mid x_2 \otimes \xi_2 \rangle := \langle \xi_1 \mid \phi(\langle x_1 \mid x_2 \rangle_A) \xi_2 \rangle \quad \forall x_1, x_2 \in \mathcal{E}_1, \xi_1, \xi_2 \in \mathcal{E}.$$

The group  $G$  acts diagonally on  $\mathcal{E}_1 \otimes_A \mathcal{E}$  by  $\gamma_g(x \otimes \xi) := \gamma_g^{\mathcal{E}_1}(x) \otimes \gamma_g(\xi)$ . If  $A = \mathbb{C}$ , we drop it from our notation. For  $\mathcal{E}_1 = L^2G$ , we get the Hilbert  $B, G$ -module

$$L^2(G, B) := L^2G \otimes B.$$

The *bra-ket notation* is very useful in connection with Hilbert modules. For  $\xi \in \mathcal{E}$  we define the operators  $|\xi\rangle: B \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$  and  $\langle \xi|: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow B$  by  $|\xi\rangle(b) := \xi \cdot b$  and  $\langle \xi|(\eta) := \langle \xi \mid \eta \rangle$ , respectively. These operators are adjoints of one another. The composition  $\langle \xi| \circ |\eta\rangle$  is the operator of multiplication with the inner product  $\langle \xi \mid \eta \rangle$ . The composition  $|\xi\rangle \circ \langle \eta|$  is the “rank-one operator”  $|\xi\rangle\langle \eta|(\zeta) := \xi \cdot \langle \eta \mid \zeta \rangle$ .

The map  $\xi \mapsto |\xi\rangle$  is an isomorphism from  $\mathcal{E}$  onto  $\mathbb{K}(B, \mathcal{E})$ . The map  $\xi \mapsto \langle \xi|$  is an isomorphism from the dual  $\mathcal{E}^*$  of  $\mathcal{E}$ , which is a Hilbert module over  $\mathbb{K}(\mathcal{E})$  with  $\mathbb{K}(\mathcal{E}^*) \cong B$ , onto  $\mathbb{K}(\mathcal{E}, B)$ . The map

$$(6) \quad \mathcal{E} \otimes_B \mathcal{E}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{K}(\mathcal{E}), \quad \xi \otimes \eta \mapsto |\xi\rangle\langle \eta|,$$

is an isomorphism of Hilbert modules over  $\mathbb{K}(\mathcal{E})$ .

## 3. THE REDUCED CROSSED PRODUCT

One of the basic observations of [9] is that  $C_r^*(G, B)$  arises as the generalized fixed point algebra of  $\mathbb{K}(L^2G) \otimes B$ . In order to make this isomorphism straightforward, we leave out the modular function in the adjoint. With this convention, modular functions do not show up in any of our formulas. Furthermore, it becomes easier to extend our results to groupoids, where our convention is the standard one. In order to help readers who prefer the other convention, we explain how to modify formulas if the adjoint is defined differently.

Let  $C_c(G, B)$  be the space of continuous functions from  $G$  to  $B$  with compact support. We equip  $C_c(G, B)$  with the following  $*$ -algebra structure:

$$(7) \quad K * L(g) := \int_G K(g_2) \cdot \beta_{g_2}(L(g_2^{-1}g)) dg_2,$$

$$(8) \quad K^*(g) := \beta_g(K(g^{-1}))^*.$$

Usually, the adjoint is defined by

$$(9) \quad K^\times(g) := \beta_g(K(g^{-1}))^* \cdot \Delta(g)^{-1}.$$

Equations (8) and (9) yield isomorphic  $*$ -algebras. The isomorphism is the map

$$\mu: C_c(G, B) \rightarrow C_c(G, B), \quad (\mu K)(g) := \Delta(g)^{1/2} K(g).$$

Straightforward computations show

$$\mu(K * L) = \mu(K) * \mu(L), \quad (\mu K)^* = \mu(K^\times) \quad \forall K, L \in C_c(G, B).$$

The advantage of (8) is that the formula

$$(10) \quad (\rho_K f)(g) := \int_G \beta_g(K(g^{-1}g_2)) \cdot f(g_2) dg_2 \quad \forall g \in G, K, f \in C_c(G, B)$$

defines a  $*$ -homomorphism  $\rho: C_c(G, B) \rightarrow \mathbb{B}^G(L^2(G, B))$ . If we define the adjoint by (9), we must replace  $\rho$  by  $\rho \circ \mu$ . That is, we have to insert a factor of  $\Delta(g^{-1}g_2)^{1/2}$  under the integral in (10).

The *reduced crossed product*  $C_r^*(G, B)$  is defined as the closure of  $\rho(C_c(G, B))$  with respect to the operator norm on  $\mathbb{B}^G(L^2(G, B))$ .

If an adjointable operator on  $L^2(G, B)$  satisfies (10) for some not necessarily compactly supported continuous function  $K: G \rightarrow B$ , then we call it a *Laurent operator* with *symbol*  $K$  (following Exel's notation for Abelian groups [3]). If we define the adjoint by (9), then  $\rho$  is replaced by  $\rho \circ \mu$ . As a result, symbols are multiplied pointwise by  $\Delta(g)^{-1/2}$ .

We can also define  $\rho_K$  if  $K$  is only a distribution on  $G$  taking values in  $\mathcal{M}(B)$ . In particular, we consider the distributions  $b \cdot \delta_1$  for  $b \in B$  and  $\delta_g$  for  $g \in G$  that are defined by  $\int_G b \cdot \delta_1(g) \cdot f(g) dg := bf(1)$  and  $\int_G \delta_g(g_2) \cdot f(g_2) dg_2 = f(g)$ . If we plug them into (10), we get the operators  $\rho_b, \rho_g \in \mathbb{B}^G(L^2(G, B))$ ,

$$(11) \quad \rho_b(f)(g_2) := \beta_{g_2}(b) \cdot f(g_2),$$

$$(12) \quad \rho_g(f)(g_2) := f(g_2g).$$

We have  $\rho_g^* = \rho_{g^{-1}} \cdot \Delta(g)^{-1}$ , so that  $\rho_g \cdot \Delta(g)^{1/2}$  is unitary. It is elementary to verify that  $\rho_b$  and  $\rho_g$  multiply  $\rho(C_c(G, B))$  and hence are contained in  $\mathcal{M}(C_r^*(G, B))$ . If we define the adjoint by (9) and replace  $\rho$  by  $\rho \circ \mu$ , then  $\rho_b$  remains unchanged and  $\rho_g$  is replaced by  $\rho_g \cdot \Delta(g)^{1/2}$ .

We can view  $C_c(G, B)$  as the inductive limit of the Banach spaces of continuous functions  $G \rightarrow B$  with support contained in  $K \subseteq G$ , where  $K$  runs through the compact subsets of  $G$ . Hence  $C_c(G, B)$  is a complete bornological vector space and thus a complete topological vector space in a canonical way.

The usual  $L^1$ -norm on  $C_c(G, B)$  is *not* a  $*$ -algebra norm and does not control the operator norm on  $C_r^*(G, B)$  because we left out the modular function in the adjoint. As a substitute, we define

$$\|K\|_I := \max \int_G \|K(g)\| dg, \quad \int_G \|K^*(g)\| dg.$$

This norm is submultiplicative and satisfies  $\|K^*\|_I = \|K\|_I$  by definition. An application of the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mu^{-1}(K)\|_1 &:= \int_G \|\mu^{-1}(K)(g)\| dg = \int_G \|K(g)\| \cdot \Delta(g)^{-1/2} dg \\ &\leq \left( \int_G \|K(g)\| dg \right)^{1/2} \cdot \left( \int_G \|K(g)\| d(g^{-1}) \right)^{1/2} \leq \|K\|_I. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\|\rho_K\| \leq \|K\|_I$ . The latter estimate continues to hold for groupoids [7]. Finally, we mention that  $C_c(G, B)$  has approximate identities:

**Lemma 3.1.** *There is a net  $(u_j)_{j \in J}$  of elements of  $C_c(G, B)$  such that:*

- $u_j = u_j^*$  for all  $j \in J$ ;
- $(u_j)$  is bounded with respect to the norm  $\|\sqcup\|_I$ ;
- $(u_j)$  is an approximate identity of  $C_c(G, B)$  and of  $C_r^*(G, B)$  with respect to the inductive limit bornology and the operator norm, respectively.

*Proof.* This follows from Lemma 3.2 of [7].  $\square$

#### 4. SQUARE-INTEGRABLE HILBERT MODULES

In the introduction, we called  $\xi \in \mathcal{E}$  square-integrable iff  $\langle \xi | \eta \rangle \in L^2(G, B)$  for all  $\eta \in \mathcal{E}$ . We have to explain what  $\langle \xi | \eta \rangle \in L^2(G, B)$  means. Let  $(\chi_i)_{i \in I}$  be a net of continuous, compactly supported functions  $G \rightarrow [0, 1]$  with  $\chi_i(g) \rightarrow 1$  uniformly on compact subsets of  $G$ . Let  $f \in C_b(G, B)$ . We say that  $f$  is *square-integrable* and write  $f \in L^2(G, B)$  iff the net  $(\chi_i \cdot f)_{i \in I}$  converges in  $L^2(G, B)$ . We identify  $f$  with the limit of this net, so that  $f$  becomes an element of  $L^2(G, B)$ .

As a result, we may view  $\langle \xi |$  as an operator  $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow L^2(G, B)$  if  $\xi \in \mathcal{E}_{\text{si}}$ . The closed graph theorem implies that  $\langle \xi |$  is bounded as a map to  $L^2(G, B)$ . Since  $\langle \xi |$  is bounded as an operator to  $C_b(G, B)$ , its graph in  $\mathcal{E} \times L^2(G, B)$  is closed. (Since we do not assume  $G$  to be  $\sigma$ -compact as in [5], we cannot employ the Banach-Steinhaus theorem as in the proof of [5, Lemma 8.1].) The same argument as in the proof of [5, Lemma 8.1] shows that  $\langle \xi |$  is adjointable and that its adjoint extends  $|\xi\rangle$  to an operator  $L^2(G, B) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ . Conversely, suppose that the operator  $|\xi\rangle$  defined in (2) extends to an *adjointable* operator  $L^2(G, B) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ . The computation that yields  $|\xi\rangle = \langle \xi |^*$  shows that  $|\xi\rangle^*(\eta) = \langle \xi | \eta \rangle \in L^2(G, B)$  for all  $\eta \in \mathcal{E}$ . Hence  $\xi \in \mathcal{E}_{\text{si}}$  iff  $|\xi\rangle$  extends to an adjointable operator  $L^2(G, B) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ .

It is clear that  $\mathcal{E}_{\text{si}}$  is a vector space. It becomes a Banach space when equipped with the norm

$$\|\xi\|_{\text{si}} := \|\langle \xi | \xi \rangle\|^{1/2} + \|\xi\rangle\| = \|\langle \xi | \xi \rangle\|^{1/2} + \|\langle \xi | \xi \rangle\|^{1/2}.$$

The remainder of this section contains elementary computations with the operators  $|\xi\rangle$  and  $\langle \xi |$  that are needed later. It is convenient for reference purposes to collect these computation in a single section. We have

- (13)  $|T(\xi)\rangle = T \circ |\xi\rangle \quad \forall T \in \mathbb{B}^G(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}'), \xi \in \mathcal{E},$
- (14)  $|\xi \cdot b\rangle = |\xi\rangle \circ \rho_b \quad \forall b \in B, \xi \in \mathcal{E},$
- (15)  $|\gamma_g(\xi)\rangle = |\xi\rangle \circ \rho_g^* = |\xi\rangle \circ \rho_{g^{-1}} \cdot \Delta(g)^{-1} \quad \forall g \in G, \xi \in \mathcal{E}.$

Equations (13)–(15) follow at once from the definitions (2), (11), and (12). If  $\rho_g$  is replaced by  $\rho_g \cdot \Delta(g)^{-1/2}$ , then (15) has to be modified accordingly. Therefore,

$$(16) \quad \|T(\xi)\|_{\text{si}} \leq \|\xi\|_{\text{si}} \cdot \|T\| \quad \forall T \in \mathbb{B}^G(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}'), \xi \in \mathcal{E}_{\text{si}},$$

$$(17) \quad \|\xi \cdot b\|_{\text{si}} \leq \|\xi\|_{\text{si}} \cdot \|b\| \quad \forall b \in B, \xi \in \mathcal{E}_{\text{si}},$$

$$(18) \quad \|\gamma_g(\xi)\|_{\text{si}} \leq \|\xi\|_{\text{si}} \cdot \max\{1, \Delta(g)^{-1/2}\} \quad \forall g \in G, \xi \in \mathcal{E}_{\text{si}}.$$

Thus  $\mathcal{E}_{\text{si}}$  is  $G$ -invariant and a Banach bimodule over  $\mathbb{B}^G(\mathcal{E}) \times B$ . However, the action of  $G$  on  $\mathcal{E}_{\text{si}}$  need not be continuous, and  $\mathcal{E}_{\text{si}} \cdot B$  need not be dense in  $\mathcal{E}_{\text{si}}$ .

Let  $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{E}_{\text{si}}$ . We compute the compositions  $|\xi\rangle\langle\eta|$  and  $\langle\langle\xi|\eta\rangle\rangle$ . Formally, we have  $|\xi\rangle\langle\eta|\zeta = \int_G \gamma_g(\xi) \langle\gamma_g(\eta) | \zeta\rangle dg$ . To interpret this integral, recall that  $\langle\gamma_g(\eta) | \zeta\rangle$  is the limit of the net  $(\chi_i(g) \cdot \langle\gamma_g(\eta) | \zeta\rangle)_{i \in I}$  in  $L^2(G, B)$ . Hence

$$(19) \quad |\xi\rangle\langle\eta| = \int_G \gamma_g(|\xi\rangle\langle\eta|) dg := \lim_{i \in I} \int_G \chi_i(g) \cdot \gamma_g(|\xi\rangle\langle\eta|) dg$$

for all  $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{E}_{\text{si}}$ . The limit exists in the strict topology [5]. Moreover,

$$(\langle\langle\xi|\eta\rangle\rangle f)(g) = \langle\gamma_g(\xi) | |\eta\rangle\rangle f\rangle = \int_G \langle\gamma_g(\xi) | \gamma_{g_2}(\eta)\rangle \cdot f(g_2) dg_2$$

for all  $f \in C_c(G, B)$ ,  $g \in G$ . Comparing with (10), we see that  $\langle\langle\xi|\eta\rangle\rangle$  is a Laurent operator, whose symbol  $\langle\langle\xi|\eta\rangle\rangle \in C_b(G, B)$  is

$$(20) \quad \langle\langle\xi|\eta\rangle\rangle(g) = \langle\xi | \gamma_g(\eta)\rangle \quad \forall g \in G, \xi, \eta \in \mathcal{E}_{\text{si}}.$$

It may happen that  $\langle\langle\xi|\eta\rangle\rangle \notin C_r^*(G, B)$ . If we define the adjoint by (9), then (20) has to be replaced by  $\langle\langle\xi|\eta\rangle\rangle(g) = \langle\xi | \gamma_g(\eta)\rangle \cdot \Delta(g)^{-1/2}$ .

The basic example of a square-integrable Hilbert module is  $L^2(G, B)$ . We claim that  $C_c(G, B) \subseteq L^2(G, B)_{\text{si}}$ . Let  $K \in C_c(G, B)$ . Then

$$(|K\rangle\rangle f)(g) = \int_G (\beta_{g_2}(K))(g) \cdot f(g_2) dg_2 = \int_G \beta_{g_2}(K(g_2^{-1}g)) \cdot f(g_2) dg_2.$$

Comparing with (10), we see that

$$(21) \quad |K\rangle\rangle = \rho_{\check{K}}, \quad |\check{K}\rangle\rangle = \rho_K,$$

if

$$(22) \quad \check{K}(g) := \beta_g(K(g^{-1})).$$

The map  $K \mapsto \check{K}$  is a bijection from  $C_c(G, B)$  onto  $C_c(G, B)$ . As a result,  $|K\rangle\rangle$  extends to an adjointable operator, so that  $C_c(G, B) \subseteq L^2(G, B)_{\text{si}}$  as asserted.

If we define the adjoint by (9), then  $\rho$  has to be replaced by  $\rho \circ \mu$ . Hence we desire an equation  $|K\rangle\rangle = \rho \circ \mu(\check{K})$  instead of (21) and put

$$(23) \quad \check{K}(g) := \check{K}(g) \cdot \Delta(g)^{-1/2} = \beta_g(K(g^{-1})) \cdot \Delta(g)^{-1/2}.$$

We turn  $\mathcal{E}$  into a right module over the convolution algebra  $C_c(G, B)$  by

$$(24) \quad \xi * K := |\xi\rangle\rangle(\check{K}) = \int_G \gamma_g(\xi) \cdot \check{K}(g) dg = \int_G \gamma_g(\xi \cdot K(g^{-1})) dg$$

for all  $\xi \in \mathcal{E}$ ,  $K \in C_c(G, B)$ . Since  $|\xi\rangle\rangle$  is equivariant, (13) and (21) yield

$$(25) \quad |\xi * K\rangle\rangle = |\xi\rangle\rangle \circ |\check{K}\rangle\rangle = |\xi\rangle\rangle \circ \rho_K \quad \forall \xi \in \mathcal{E}, K \in C_c(G, B).$$

Hence

$$|(\xi * K) * L\rangle\rangle = |\xi\rangle\rangle \circ \rho_K \circ \rho_L = |\xi\rangle\rangle \circ \rho_{K * L} = |\xi * (K * L)\rangle\rangle.$$

Since the map  $\xi \mapsto |\xi\rangle\rangle$  is injective,  $\mathcal{E}$  is a right module over  $C_c(G, B)$ .

If we define the adjoint by (9), then we replace  $\check{K}$  by  $\tilde{K}$  in (24). The same computation as above yields  $|\xi * K\rangle\rangle = |\xi\rangle\rangle \circ \rho\mu(K)$  instead of (25).

Using  $\|\rho_K\| \leq \|K\|_I$  and (25), we obtain the following norm estimates:

$$(26) \quad \|\xi * K\| \leq \|\xi\| \cdot \|K\|_I,$$

$$(27) \quad \|\xi * K\|_{\text{si}} \leq \|\xi\|_{\text{si}} \cdot \|K\|_I,$$

$$(28) \quad \|\xi * K\|_{\text{si}} \leq \| |\xi| \| \cdot \max\{\|\rho_K\|, \|\tilde{K}\|_{L^2(G,B)}\}.$$

Lemma 8.1 of [5] asserts that  $\xi$  is contained in the closure of  $| \xi | (C_c(G, B))$ . Hence  $\mathcal{E} * C_c(G, B)$  is dense in  $\mathcal{E}$ . Using (26), we conclude that the approximate identities  $(u_j)_{j \in J}$  of Lemma 3.1 satisfy

$$(29) \quad \lim \|\xi * u_j - \xi\| = 0 \quad \forall \xi \in \mathcal{E}.$$

However,  $\mathcal{E}_{\text{si}} * C_c(G, B)$  need not be dense in  $\mathcal{E}_{\text{si}}$  with respect to  $\|\cdot\|_{\text{si}}$ .

## 5. REPRESENTATIONS OF HILBERT MODULES

Throughout this section, we let  $\mathcal{L}$  be a  $G$ -equivariant Hilbert module over a  $G$ - $C^*$ -algebra  $B$ , and we let  $A \subseteq \mathbb{B}^G(\mathcal{L})$  be an *essential*  $C^*$ -subalgebra. That is, the closed linear span of  $A \cdot \mathcal{L}$  is dense in  $\mathcal{L}$ . By Cohen's Factorization Theorem, this implies  $A \cdot \mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}$ . We are particularly interested in the case  $A = C_r^*(G, B)$ ,  $\mathcal{L} = L^2(G, B)$ . The group  $G$  is only there because we want to assert that our constructions are invariant with respect to a group action.

**Definition 5.1.** Let  $\mathcal{E}$  be a Hilbert  $B, G$ -module. A *concrete Hilbert  $A$ -module* is a closed linear subspace  $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathbb{B}^G(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{E})$  that satisfies  $\mathcal{F} \circ A \subseteq \mathcal{F}$  and  $\mathcal{F}^* \circ \mathcal{F} \subseteq A$ . We call  $\mathcal{F}$  *essential* iff the linear span of  $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{L})$  is dense in  $\mathcal{E}$ .

A concrete Hilbert  $A$ -module  $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathbb{B}^G(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{E})$  can be made essential by making  $\mathcal{E}$  smaller. Let  $\mathcal{E}' \subseteq \mathcal{E}$  be the closed linear span of  $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{L})$ . Then  $\mathcal{E}'$  is an invariant Hilbert submodule and  $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathbb{B}^G(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{E}')$  is an essential, concrete Hilbert  $A$ -module.

**Lemma 5.2.** Let  $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathbb{B}^G(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{E})$  be a concrete Hilbert  $A$ -module. Then  $\mathcal{F}$  becomes a Hilbert  $A$ -module when equipped with the right  $A$ -module structure

$$\xi \cdot a := \xi \circ a \quad \forall \xi \in \mathcal{F}, a \in A$$

and the  $A$ -valued inner product

$$\langle \xi \mid \eta \rangle := \xi^* \circ \eta \quad \forall \xi, \eta \in \mathcal{F}.$$

The Hilbert module norm and the operator norm on  $\mathcal{F}$  coincide. We have

$$(30) \quad \mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F} \circ A = \mathcal{F} \circ \mathcal{F}^* \circ \mathcal{F}$$

and  $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{L}) = \mathcal{F} \circ \mathcal{F}^*(\mathcal{E}) = \mathcal{F} \circ \mathcal{F}^* \circ \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{L})$ . Hence  $\mathcal{F}$  is essential iff the linear span of  $\mathcal{F} \circ \mathcal{F}^*(\mathcal{E})$  is dense in  $\mathcal{E}$ .

We always furnish a concrete Hilbert  $A$ -module with the Hilbert  $A$ -module structure defined above.

*Proof.* By assumption,  $\xi \cdot a \in \mathcal{F}$  for all  $\xi \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $a \in A$  and  $\langle \xi \mid \eta \rangle \in A$  for all  $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{F}$ . The conditions

$$\langle \xi \mid \eta \cdot a \rangle = \langle \xi \mid \eta \rangle \cdot a, \quad \langle \xi \mid \eta \rangle = (\langle \eta \mid \xi \rangle)^*, \quad \langle \xi \mid \xi \rangle \geq 0$$

for a pre-Hilbert module are obviously satisfied. Since

$$(31) \quad \|\xi\| = \|\xi^* \xi\|^{1/2} = \|\langle \xi \mid \xi \rangle\|^{1/2},$$

the norm that comes from the  $A$ -valued inner product equals the operator norm. Hence  $\mathcal{F}$  is a Hilbert module. We have  $\mathcal{F} \circ \mathcal{F}^* \circ \mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{F} \circ A \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ . It is a general feature of Hilbert modules that any  $\xi \in \mathcal{F}$  may be written as  $\eta \langle \eta \mid \xi \rangle$  for some  $\eta \in \mathcal{F}$  [1, Lemme 1.3]. Hence  $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{F} \circ \mathcal{F}^* \circ \mathcal{F}$ . Equation (30) follows. Since  $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{L}) = \mathcal{F} \circ \mathcal{F}^* \circ \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{L}) \subseteq \mathcal{F} \circ \mathcal{F}^*(\mathcal{E}) \subseteq \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{L})$ , these three sets are equal.  $\square$

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a Hilbert  $A$ -module. We construct a canonical representation of  $\mathcal{F}$  as a concrete Hilbert module. We equip  $\mathcal{F}$  with the trivial action of  $G$ , so that  $\mathcal{F} \otimes_A \mathcal{L}$  is a Hilbert  $B, G$ -module. The construction  $\mathcal{F} \mapsto \mathcal{F} \otimes_A \mathcal{L}$  is functorial, that is, an adjointable operator  $x: \mathcal{F}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_2$  between Hilbert  $A$ -modules induces an equivariant, adjointable operator  $x \otimes \text{id}_{\mathcal{L}}: \mathcal{F}_1 \otimes_A \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_2 \otimes_A \mathcal{L}$ . Using the isomorphism  $A \otimes_A \mathcal{L} \cong A \cdot \mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}$ , we obtain a map

$$(32) \quad T: \mathcal{F} \cong \mathbb{K}(A, \mathcal{F}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{B}^G(A \otimes_A \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{F} \otimes_A \mathcal{L}) \cong \mathbb{B}^G(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{F} \otimes_A \mathcal{L}).$$

More explicitly, we have  $T(\xi)(f) := \xi \otimes f$  and  $T(\xi)^*(\eta \otimes f) := \langle \xi | \eta \rangle(f)$  for all  $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $f \in \mathcal{L}$ , where we view  $\langle \xi | \eta \rangle \in A \subseteq \mathbb{B}^G(\mathcal{L})$ .

**Theorem 5.3.** *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a Hilbert  $A$ -module and define  $T$  as in (32). Then  $T(\mathcal{F})$  is an essential, concrete Hilbert  $A$ -module and  $T: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow T(\mathcal{F})$  is an isomorphism of Hilbert  $A$ -modules. If  $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathbb{B}^G(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{E})$  already is an essential, concrete Hilbert  $A$ -module, then*

$$U: \mathcal{F} \otimes_A \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}, \quad \xi \otimes f \mapsto \xi(f),$$

*is an equivariant unitary that satisfies  $U \circ (T(\xi)) = \xi$  for all  $\xi \in \mathcal{F}$ . That is,  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $T(\mathcal{F})$  are isomorphic as concrete Hilbert  $A$ -modules via  $U$ .*

*Proof.* We have  $T(\xi \cdot a) = T(\xi) \circ a$  and  $T(\xi)^*T(\eta) = \langle \xi | \eta \rangle$  for all  $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $a \in A$ . Equation (31) shows that  $T$  is isometric, so that  $T(\mathcal{F})$  is closed. Thus  $T(\mathcal{F})$  is a concrete Hilbert  $A$ -module and  $T: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow T(\mathcal{F})$  is an isomorphism with respect to the Hilbert  $A$ -module structure of Lemma 5.2.  $T(\mathcal{F})$  is essential because  $\mathcal{F} \otimes_A \mathcal{L}$  is generated by elementary tensors  $\xi \otimes f = T(\xi)(f)$  with  $\xi \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $f \in \mathcal{L}$ .

Suppose that  $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathbb{B}^G(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{E})$  is a concrete Hilbert  $A$ -module. The map  $U$  is isometric (hence well-defined) by (5) and equivariant. If  $\mathcal{F}$  is essential, then the range of  $U$  is dense, so that  $U$  is unitary. We compute  $U(T(\xi)(f)) = U(\xi \otimes f) = \xi(f)$  for all  $\xi \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $f \in \mathcal{L}$ . That is,  $U \circ (T(\xi)) = \xi$ .  $\square$

Put in a nutshell, any Hilbert  $A$ -module  $\mathcal{F}$  can be represented as an essential, concrete Hilbert  $A$ -module, and this representation is unique up to isomorphism. The underlying Hilbert  $B, G$ -module  $\mathcal{E}$  is canonically isomorphic to  $\mathcal{F} \otimes_A \mathcal{L}$ .

**Theorem 5.4.** *Let  $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathbb{B}^G(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{E})$  be a concrete Hilbert  $A$ -module. The map*

$$|\xi\rangle\langle\eta| \mapsto \xi \circ \eta^* \in \mathcal{F} \circ \mathcal{F}^* \subseteq \mathbb{B}^G(\mathcal{E})$$

*extends to a  $*$ -isomorphism from  $\mathbb{K}(\mathcal{F})$  onto the norm closure of  $\mathcal{F} \circ \mathcal{F}^*$  in  $\mathbb{B}^G(\mathcal{E})$ . This representation of  $\mathbb{K}(\mathcal{F})$  is essential iff  $\mathcal{F}$  is essential.*

*If  $\mathcal{F}$  is essential, we may extend this representation of  $\mathbb{K}(\mathcal{F})$  to a strictly continuous, injective, unital  $*$ -homomorphism  $\phi: \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \mathbb{B}^G(\mathcal{E})$ , whose range is*

$$M := \{x \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{E}) \mid x \circ \mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{F}, x^* \circ \mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{F}\}.$$

*Proof.* It is clear that  $M$  is a  $C^*$ -subalgebra of  $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{E})$ . Let  $D \subseteq \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{E})$  be the closed linear span of  $\mathcal{F} \circ \mathcal{F}^*$ . By construction,  $D$  is closed and  $D^* = D$ . Equation (30) implies  $D \circ \mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$  and hence  $D \subseteq M$ . If  $x \circ \mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ , then  $x \circ D \subseteq D$ . Hence  $D$  is a closed ideal in  $M$ . Conversely, if  $x \circ D \subseteq D$ , then

$$x \circ \mathcal{F} = x \circ \mathcal{F} \circ \mathcal{F}^* \circ \mathcal{F} \subseteq D \circ \mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$$

by (30). Consequently,  $x \in M$  iff  $xD \subseteq D$  and  $Dx \subseteq D$ . We define a  $*$ -homomorphism  $\psi: M \rightarrow \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{F})$  by  $\psi(x)(\xi) := x \circ \xi$  for  $x \in M$ ,  $\xi \in \mathcal{F}$ . If  $\mathcal{F}$  is essential, then  $\psi$  is injective because if  $\psi(x) = 0$ , then  $x$  vanishes on the dense subspace  $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{L}) \subseteq \mathcal{E}$ , so that  $x = 0$ . In general, at least the restriction of  $\psi$  to  $D$  is injective because  $x \circ \mathcal{F} = 0$  implies  $x \circ D = 0$  and hence  $xx^* = 0$ . We have  $\psi(\xi \circ \eta^*) = |\xi\rangle\langle\eta|$  for all  $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{F}$ . Hence  $\psi(D) = \mathbb{K}(\mathcal{F})$  and  $\psi|_D^{-1}$  equals the map  $|\xi\rangle\langle\eta| \mapsto \xi \circ \eta^*$ . Lemma 5.2 implies that  $\psi|_D^{-1}: \mathbb{K}(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{E})$  is essential iff  $\mathcal{F}$  is essential.

Therefore, if  $\mathcal{F}$  is essential, then  $\psi|_D^{-1}$  extends uniquely to a strictly continuous, unital, injective  $*$ -homomorphism  $\phi$  from  $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{F}) \cong \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{K}(\mathcal{F}))$  to  $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{E})$ . The range of  $\phi$  is contained in  $M$  because  $\mathbb{K}(\mathcal{F})$  is an ideal in  $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{F})$ . Since  $\phi \circ \psi = \text{id}_M$ , the map  $\phi$  is an isomorphism onto  $M$ .  $\square$

If  $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{F} \otimes_A \mathcal{L}$  and  $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathbb{B}^G(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{E})$  is the standard representation (32), then the homomorphism  $\phi: \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \mathbb{B}^G(\mathcal{E})$  equals the canonical map  $x \mapsto x \otimes_A \text{id}_{\mathcal{L}}$ .

**Corollary 5.5.** *Let  $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathbb{B}^G(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{E})$  be an essential, concrete Hilbert  $A$ -module. The following statements are equivalent:*

- (i)  $\phi: \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \mathbb{B}^G(\mathcal{E})$  is an isomorphism onto  $\mathbb{B}^G(\mathcal{E})$ ;
- (ii) we have  $u \circ \mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}$  for all  $u \in \mathbb{B}^G(\mathcal{E})$ ;
- (iii) the closed linear span of  $\mathcal{F} \circ \mathcal{F}^*$  is an ideal in  $\mathbb{B}^G(\mathcal{E})$ .

We call  $\mathcal{F}$  *ideal* iff one of these assertions holds.

*Proof.* By Theorem 5.4, condition (i) is equivalent to  $M = \mathbb{B}^G(\mathcal{E})$ . Condition (ii) asserts that all unitaries  $u \in \mathbb{B}^G(\mathcal{E})$  are contained in  $M$ . Since any element of  $\mathbb{B}^G(\mathcal{E})$  may be written as a sum of four unitaries, the first two conditions are equivalent. In the proof of Theorem 5.4, we observed that  $x \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{E})$  satisfies  $xD \subseteq D$  and  $Dx \subseteq D$  iff  $x \in M$ . Hence condition (iii) is equivalent to  $M = \mathbb{B}^G(\mathcal{E})$  as well.  $\square$

## 6. CONTINUOUSLY SQUARE-INTEGRABLE HILBERT MODULES

It is convenient to keep the abbreviations

$$A := C_r^*(G, B), \quad \mathcal{L} := L^2(G, B).$$

**Definition 6.1.** A subset  $\mathcal{R} \subseteq \mathcal{E}$  is called *relatively continuous* iff  $\mathcal{R} \subseteq \mathcal{E}_{\text{si}}$  and

$$\langle\langle \mathcal{R} | \mathcal{R} \rangle\rangle := \{ \langle\langle \xi | \eta \rangle\rangle \mid \xi, \eta \in \mathcal{R} \}$$

is contained in  $C_r^*(G, B) \subseteq \mathbb{B}^G(\mathcal{L})$ . If  $\mathcal{R} \subseteq \mathcal{E}$  is a relatively continuous subset, let  $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{R}) \subseteq \mathbb{B}^G(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{E})$  be the closed linear span of  $|\mathcal{R}\rangle \circ C_r^*(G, B) \cup |\mathcal{R}\rangle$ .

Recall that  $\langle\langle \xi | \eta \rangle\rangle$  is a Laurent operator, whose symbol is given by (20). This often allows to verify relative continuity. In many interesting examples we have  $\|\langle\langle \xi | \eta \rangle\rangle\|_I < \infty$  or even  $\langle\langle \xi | \eta \rangle\rangle \in C_c(G, B)$  for all  $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{R}$ .

**Proposition 6.2.** *Let  $\mathcal{R} \subseteq \mathcal{E}$  be relatively continuous. Then  $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{R})$  is a concrete Hilbert module over  $C_r^*(G, B)$ . If  $\mathcal{R}$  is dense in  $\mathcal{E}$ , then  $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{R})$  is essential.*

*Proof.* By construction,  $\mathcal{F} := \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{R})$  is a closed linear subspace and  $\mathcal{F} \circ A \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ . The assumption  $\langle\langle \mathcal{R} | \mathcal{R} \rangle\rangle \subseteq A$  implies  $\mathcal{F}^* \circ \mathcal{F} \subseteq A$ . Suppose that  $\mathcal{R}$  is dense in  $\mathcal{E}$ . Since  $\mathcal{E} * C_c(G, B)$  is dense in  $\mathcal{E}$ , the subset  $\mathcal{R} * C_c(G, B) = |\mathcal{R}\rangle(C_c(G, B))$  is dense in  $\mathcal{E}$ . Therefore,  $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{L})$  is dense in  $\mathcal{E}$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 6.3.** *Let  $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathbb{B}^G(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{E})$  be a concrete Hilbert module over  $C_r^*(G, B)$ . Define*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{F}} &:= \{x \in \mathcal{E}_{\text{si}} \mid |x\rangle \in \mathcal{F}\}, \\ \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{F}}^0 &:= \{\xi(K) \mid \xi \in \mathcal{F}, K \in C_c(G, B)\}. \end{aligned}$$

*Then  $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{F}}^0 \subseteq \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{F}}$ . Both  $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{F}}^0$  and  $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{F}}$  are relatively continuous, and  $|\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{F}}^0\rangle$  and  $|\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{F}}\rangle$  are dense in  $\mathcal{F}$ . Thus*

$$\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{F}}^0) = \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{F}}) = \mathcal{F}.$$

*Proof.* It is evident that  $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{F}}$  is relatively continuous. Let  $\xi \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $K \in C_c(G, B)$ . Since  $\xi$  is equivariant, (13) and (21) yield

$$|\xi(K)\rangle\rangle = \xi \circ |K\rangle\rangle = \xi \circ \rho_K \in \mathcal{F} \circ C_r^*(G, B) \subseteq \mathcal{F}.$$

This implies  $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{F}}^0 \subseteq \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{F}}$ . Thus  $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{F}}^0$  is relatively continuous. The above computation shows  $|\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{F}}^0\rangle\rangle = \mathcal{F} \cdot C_c(G, B)$ . Since  $C_c(G, B)$  is dense in  $A$  and  $\mathcal{F}$  is a Hilbert  $A$ -module,  $\mathcal{F} \cdot C_c(G, B)$  is dense in  $\mathcal{F}$ . It follows that  $|\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{F}}^0\rangle\rangle$  and  $|\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{F}}\rangle\rangle$  are dense subsets of  $\mathcal{F}$ . Therefore,  $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{F}}^0) = \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{F}}) = \mathcal{F}$ .  $\square$

The subspace  $\mathcal{R} \subseteq \mathcal{F} \otimes_A \mathcal{L}$  that is defined in (4) equals  $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{F}}^0$ .

**Definition 6.4.** We call  $\mathcal{R} \subseteq \mathcal{E}$  *complete* iff  $\mathcal{R}$  is a linear subspace of  $\mathcal{E}_{\text{si}}$  that is closed with respect to the norm  $\|\cdot\|_{\text{si}}$  and satisfies  $\mathcal{R} * C_c(G, B) \subseteq \mathcal{R}$ . The *completion* of a subset  $\mathcal{R} \subseteq \mathcal{E}_{\text{si}}$  is the smallest complete subset that contains  $\mathcal{R}$ . That is, the completion of  $\mathcal{R}$  is the  $\|\cdot\|_{\text{si}}$ -closed linear span of  $\mathcal{R} \cup \mathcal{R} * C_c(G, B)$ .

A *continuously square-integrable Hilbert  $B, G$ -module* is a Hilbert  $B, G$ -module together with a dense, complete, relatively continuous subspace.

If  $\mathcal{R} \subseteq \mathcal{E}$  is a complete, relatively continuous subset, then the closure of  $|\mathcal{R}\rangle\rangle$  is already a right  $A$ -module by (25). Hence  $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{R})$  is simply the closure of  $|\mathcal{R}\rangle\rangle$ .

**Theorem 6.5.** *The map  $\mathcal{F} \mapsto \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{F}}$  is a bijection from the set of concrete Hilbert  $C_r^*(G, B)$ -modules  $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathbb{B}^G(L^2(G, B), \mathcal{E})$  onto the set of complete, relatively continuous subspaces of  $\mathcal{E}$ . Its inverse is the map  $\mathcal{R} \mapsto \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{R})$ .*

*A concrete Hilbert module  $\mathcal{F}$  is essential if and only if  $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{F}}$  is dense.*

*Isomorphism classes of Hilbert modules over  $C_r^*(G, B)$  correspond bijectively to isomorphism classes of continuously square-integrable Hilbert  $B, G$ -modules.*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a concrete Hilbert  $A$ -module. It is evident that  $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{F}}$  is complete and relatively continuous. Proposition 6.3 asserts  $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{F}}) = \mathcal{F}$ . Conversely, let  $\mathcal{R} \subseteq \mathcal{E}$  be complete and relatively continuous. Define  $\mathcal{F} := \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{R})$ . Then  $\mathcal{R} \subseteq \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{F}}$ . We claim that  $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{F}}$ .

Let  $x \in \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{F}}$ , we want to show that  $x \in \mathcal{R}$ . Let  $(u_j)_{j \in J}$  be an approximate identity as in Lemma 3.1. Since  $\mathcal{F}$  is the closure of  $|\mathcal{R}\rangle\rangle$ , there is a sequence  $(x_n) \in \mathcal{R}$  with  $\lim |x_n\rangle\rangle = |x\rangle\rangle$  in operator norm. Equation (28) implies that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n * u_j - x * u_j\|_{\text{si}} = 0$$

for all  $j \in J$ . Hence  $x * u_j \in \mathcal{R}$  because  $\mathcal{R}$  is complete. Since  $(u_j)$  is an approximate identity for  $A$ , we have  $\xi \cdot u_j \rightarrow \xi$  for all elements  $\xi$  of a Hilbert  $A$ -module. In particular,  $|x\rangle\rangle \cdot u_j = |x * u_j\rangle\rangle$  converges towards  $|x\rangle\rangle$ . Together with (29), this means that  $x * u_j \rightarrow x$  in the norm  $\|\cdot\|_{\text{si}}$ . Hence  $x \in \mathcal{R}$ . This proves that  $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{F}} = \mathcal{R}$ .

If  $\mathcal{F}$  is essential, then  $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{F}}^0$  is dense in  $\mathcal{E}$ . Hence  $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{F}}$  is dense in  $\mathcal{E}$ . Conversely, if  $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{F}}$  is dense, then  $\mathcal{F}$  is essential by Proposition 6.2. The last assertion of the theorem follows from Theorem 5.3.  $\square$

**Corollary 6.6.** *Let  $\mathcal{R} \subseteq \mathcal{E}$  be relatively continuous. Then the completion of  $\mathcal{R}$  equals  $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{R})}$ . Thus the completion of  $\mathcal{R}$  is still relatively continuous.*

*Proof.* The bijection between complete, relatively continuous subspaces and concrete Hilbert  $A$ -modules in Theorem 6.5 preserves inclusions. Hence  $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{R})}$  is the smallest complete subspace containing  $\mathcal{R}$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 6.7.** *Let  $\mathcal{R} \subseteq \mathcal{E}$  be a complete, relatively continuous subspace. Equip  $\mathcal{R}$  with the norm  $\|\cdot\|_{\text{si}}$ . The subspace  $\mathcal{R} \subseteq \mathcal{E}$  is  $G$ -invariant, the action of  $G$  on  $\mathcal{R}$  is continuous. Furthermore,  $\mathcal{R}$  is an essential right  $B$ -module, that is,  $\mathcal{R} \cdot B = \mathcal{R}$ .*

*Proof.* By Theorem 6.5, we have  $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{F}}$  for a concrete Hilbert module  $\mathcal{F}$  over  $A$ . Let  $x \in \mathcal{R}$ . By Cohen's Factorization Theorem, the map  $a \mapsto |x\rangle \cdot a$  extends to a linear operator  $\mathcal{M}(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$  that is continuous with respect to the strict topology on  $\mathcal{M}(A)$  and the norm topology on  $\mathcal{F}$ . We have  $x * a \in \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{F}}$  whenever there is  $x * a \in \mathcal{E}_{\text{si}}$  with  $|x * a\rangle = |x\rangle \cdot a$  and  $a \in \mathcal{M}(A)$ . Using (14) and (15), we conclude that  $x \cdot b, \gamma_g(b) \in \mathcal{R}$  for all  $b \in B$ ,  $g \in G$ . Furthermore, we have norm estimates (17) and (18). Since the map  $g \mapsto \rho_g$  is strictly continuous, we have  $|x\rangle \rho_g \rightarrow |x\rangle$  for  $g \rightarrow 1$ . Therefore, the action of  $G$  on  $\mathcal{R}$  is continuous. Similarly, if  $(u_i)$  is an approximate identity of  $B$ , then  $x \cdot u_i \rightarrow x$  in the norm  $\|\cdot\|_{\text{si}}$ . Hence Cohen's Factorization Theorem yields  $\mathcal{R} \cdot B = \mathcal{R}$ .  $\square$

Since (20) describes  $\langle x | y \rangle$  explicitly, we may be able to prove that  $\langle x | y \rangle \in C_r^*(G, B)$  without showing  $x, y \in \mathcal{E}_{\text{si}}$ . For instance, it may happen that  $\langle x | y \rangle \in C_c(G, B)$  for all  $x, y \in \mathcal{R}$ . If  $\mathcal{R}$  is dense in  $\mathcal{E}$ , then this implies  $\mathcal{R} \subseteq \mathcal{E}_{\text{si}}$ :

**Proposition 6.8.** *Let  $\mathcal{R} \subseteq \mathcal{E}$  be a dense subspace with  $\langle x | y \rangle \in C_r^*(G, B)$  for all  $x, y \in \mathcal{R}$ . Then  $\mathcal{R} \subseteq \mathcal{E}_{\text{si}}$ , so that  $\mathcal{R}$  is relatively continuous.*

*Proof.* Fix  $x \in \mathcal{R}$ . If  $f \in C_c(G, B)$ , then  $|x\rangle f$  is well-defined and

$$\| |x\rangle f \| = \| \langle |x\rangle f | |x\rangle f \rangle \|^{1/2} = \| \langle f | \langle x | x \rangle f \rangle \|^{1/2} \leq \| f \| \cdot \| \langle x | x \rangle \|^{1/2}.$$

Hence  $|x\rangle : C_c(G, B) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$  extends to a bounded operator  $|x\rangle : L^2(G, B) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ . The problem is to show that  $|x\rangle$  is adjointable. This means that the set

$$\mathcal{E}_0 := \{ \xi \in \mathcal{E} \mid \exists f \in L^2(G, B) \text{ with } \langle \xi | |x\rangle f_2 \rangle = \langle f | f_2 \rangle \text{ for all } f_2 \in C_c(G, B) \}$$

equals  $\mathcal{E}$ . Since  $\mathcal{E}_0$  is a closed subspace, it suffices to prove that  $\mathcal{E}_0$  is dense. If  $y \in \mathcal{R}$ ,  $f_1, f_2 \in C_c(G, B)$ , then  $\langle |y\rangle f_1 | |x\rangle f_2 \rangle = \langle \langle x | y \rangle f_1 | f_2 \rangle$  and hence  $|y\rangle f_1 \in \mathcal{E}_0$ . Elements of this form exhaust  $\mathcal{R} * C_c(G, B)$  by (24). Since  $\mathcal{R}$  is dense in  $\mathcal{E}$ , (29) yields that  $\mathcal{R} * C_c(G, B) \supseteq \mathcal{E}_0$  is dense in  $\mathcal{E}$  as desired.  $\square$

**Definition 6.9.** Let  $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{R})$  and  $(\mathcal{E}', \mathcal{R}')$  be continuously square-integrable Hilbert modules. We call  $T \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}')$   $\mathcal{R}$ -continuous iff  $T(\mathcal{R}) \subseteq \mathcal{R}'$  and  $T^*(\mathcal{R}') \subseteq \mathcal{R}$ .

The generalized fixed point algebra  $\text{Fix}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{R})$  is the closed linear span of  $|\mathcal{R}\rangle \langle \mathcal{R}|$  in  $\mathbb{B}^G(\mathcal{E})$ .

**Theorem 6.10.** *Let  $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{R})$  be a continuously square-integrable Hilbert  $B, G$ -module and let  $\mathcal{F} := \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{R})$ . There is a canonical, injective, strictly continuous  $*$ -homomorphism  $\phi : \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \mathbb{B}^G(\mathcal{E})$ , whose range is the space of  $\mathcal{R}$ -continuous operators. It maps  $\mathbb{K}(\mathcal{F})$  isometrically onto  $\text{Fix}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{R})$ .*

*The  $C^*$ -algebra  $\text{Fix}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{R})$  is Morita-Rieffel equivalent to an ideal in  $C_r^*(G, B)$ , namely the closed linear span of  $\langle \mathcal{R} | \mathcal{R} \rangle \subseteq C_r^*(G, B)$ .*

*The generalized fixed point algebra is the closed linear span of the operators  $\int_G \gamma_g(x) dg$  with  $x = |\xi\rangle \langle \eta|$ ,  $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{R}$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $|\mathcal{R}\rangle$  is dense in  $\mathcal{F}$ , we conclude that  $\langle \mathcal{R} | \mathcal{R} \rangle$  is dense in  $\mathcal{F}^* \mathcal{F}$  and that  $|\mathcal{R}\rangle \langle \mathcal{R}|$  is dense in  $\mathcal{F} \mathcal{F}^*$ . Moreover, (13) yields that the space  $M$  defined in Theorem 5.4 equals the space of  $\mathcal{R}$ -continuous operators. Hence the assertions of the first paragraph follow from Theorem 5.4 if we take the homomorphism  $\phi$  defined there. Since  $\mathcal{F} A \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ , the closed linear span  $J$  of  $\mathcal{F}^* \mathcal{F}$  is an ideal in  $A$ . We may view  $\mathcal{F}$  as an imprimitivity bimodule for  $J$  and  $\mathbb{K}(\mathcal{F}) \cong \text{Fix}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{R})$ . That is,  $\text{Fix}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{R})$  and  $J$  are Morita-Rieffel equivalent. The last assertion follows immediately from (19) and the definition of  $\text{Fix}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{R})$ .  $\square$

Theorem 6.10 implies that  $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{R}) \mapsto \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{R})$  is an equivalence between the  $C^*$ -categories of continuously square-integrable Hilbert  $B, G$ -modules and Hilbert

modules over  $C_r^*(G, B)$ , if we take  $\mathcal{R}$ -continuous adjointable operators and adjointable operators as morphisms, respectively.

Let  $\mathcal{E}$  be a square-integrable Hilbert  $B, G$ -module. It is an important question whether there is a canonical choice for a dense, complete, relatively continuous subset  $\mathcal{R} \subseteq \mathcal{E}$ . If  $B$  is proper, then there is one and only one such  $\mathcal{R}$ . This is the strongest sense in which  $\mathcal{R}$  may be canonical. More generally, canonical should mean that we can single out a specific subspace  $\mathcal{R}$  using only that  $\mathcal{E}$  is a Hilbert  $B, G$ -module. Hence if  $u: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$  is an equivariant unitary, then  $u(\mathcal{R}) = \mathcal{R}$  because  $u$  preserves the Hilbert  $B, G$ -module structure. This is equivalent to  $u \circ \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{R}) = \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{R})$ . By Corollary 5.5 this happens iff all operators in  $\mathbb{B}^G(\mathcal{E})$  are  $\mathcal{R}$ -continuous iff  $\text{Fix}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{R})$  is an ideal in  $\mathbb{B}^G(\mathcal{E})$ .

Unfortunately,  $\mathbb{B}^G(\mathcal{E})$  frequently is so big that no ideal of it qualifies as a generalized fixed point algebra. For instance, if  $B = \mathbb{C}$ , then  $\mathbb{B}^G(\mathcal{E})$  will be a commutant of a group action on a Hilbert space and thus a von Neumann algebra. Hence there can be no canonical choice for  $\mathcal{R}$  in this case. However, this does not yet create a very serious lack of uniqueness. If  $u \in \mathbb{B}^G(\mathcal{E})$  is unitary, then  $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{R})$  and  $(\mathcal{E}, u(\mathcal{R}))$  correspond to two representations of the same abstract Hilbert module over  $C_r^*(G, B)$  and hence give rise to isomorphic generalized fixed point algebras.

## 7. CONSTRUCTIONS WITH RELATIVELY CONTINUOUS SUBSETS

As a preparation for Theorem 7.1 and as an important special case, we discuss Hilbert modules over  $C_r^*(G, B)$  of the form  $C_r^*(G, \mathcal{E})$ . Kasparov [4] defines  $C_r^*(G, \mathcal{E})$  as a completion of  $C_c(G, \mathcal{E})$  with respect to a certain pre-Hilbert module structure over  $C_c(G, B)$ . An equivalent definition is

$$(33) \quad C_r^*(G, \mathcal{E}) := \mathcal{E} \otimes_B C_r^*(G, B),$$

where we use the canonical map  $B \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(C_r^*(G, B))$  to form the tensor product.

In our framework,  $C_r^*(G, \mathcal{E})$  arises as follows. The subspace  $C_c(G, \mathcal{E}) \subseteq L^2(G, \mathcal{E})$  is dense and relatively continuous. Equation (20) yields  $\langle\langle \xi \mid \eta \rangle\rangle \in C_c(G, B)$  for all  $\xi, \eta \in C_c(G, \mathcal{E})$ . Hence  $C_c(G, \mathcal{E}) \subseteq L^2(G, \mathcal{E})_{\text{si}}$  by Proposition 6.8. We claim that

$$\mathcal{F}(L^2(G, \mathcal{E}), C_c(G, \mathcal{E})) \cong C_r^*(G, \mathcal{E}).$$

To verify this, we generalize (11) and define

$$(34) \quad \rho_\eta \in \mathbb{B}^G(L^2(G, B), L^2(G, \mathcal{E})), \quad (\rho_\eta f)(g) := \gamma_g(\eta) \cdot f(g),$$

for all  $\eta \in \mathcal{E}$ . Equations (21) and (13) yield

$$\rho_\eta \circ \rho_K = \rho_\eta \circ |\check{K}\rangle\rangle = |\rho_\eta(\check{K})\rangle\rangle$$

for all  $\eta \in \mathcal{E}$ ,  $K \in C_c(G, B)$ . It follows that  $\rho(\mathcal{E}) \circ \rho(C_c(G, B))$  and  $|C_c(G, \mathcal{E})\rangle\rangle$  have the same closed linear span in  $\mathbb{B}^G(L^2(G, B), L^2(G, \mathcal{E}))$ . By (5), the map

$$\mathcal{E} \otimes_B C_r^*(G, B) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(L^2(G, \mathcal{E}), C_c(G, \mathcal{E})), \quad \eta \otimes K \mapsto \rho_\eta \circ \rho_K,$$

is an isomorphism of Hilbert modules over  $C_r^*(G, B)$ .

Consider the following situation. Let  $A$  and  $B$  be  $G$ - $C^*$ -algebras, let  $\mathcal{E}_1$  and  $\mathcal{E}_2$  be  $G$ -equivariant Hilbert modules over  $A$  and  $B$ , respectively, and let  $\phi: A \rightarrow \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{E}_2)$  be an equivariant, essential  $*$ -homomorphism. The map  $\phi$  induces an essential  $*$ -homomorphism  $C_r^*(G, A) \rightarrow \mathbb{B}(C_r^*(G, \mathcal{E}_2))$ .

**Theorem 7.1.** *Let  $\mathcal{R}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{E}_1$  be a (dense) relatively continuous subspace. Let  $\mathcal{R}_{12}$  be the image of  $\mathcal{R}_1 \otimes^{\text{alg}} \mathcal{E}_2 \subseteq \mathcal{E}_1 \otimes^{\text{alg}} \mathcal{E}_2$  under the canonical map to  $\mathcal{E}_{12} := \mathcal{E}_1 \otimes_A \mathcal{E}_2$ . Then  $\mathcal{R}_{12} \subseteq \mathcal{E}_{12}$  is (dense and) relatively continuous. We have*

$$(35) \quad \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{E}_{12}, \mathcal{R}_{12}) \cong \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{R}_1) \otimes_{C_r^*(G, A)} C_r^*(G, \mathcal{E}_2).$$

*Proof.* Let  $\xi \in \mathcal{R}_1$ ,  $\eta \in \mathcal{E}_2$ . Since  $\phi$  is essential,  $L^2(G, A) \otimes_A \mathcal{E}_2 \cong L^2(G, \mathcal{E}_2)$ . Hence  $|\xi\rangle \otimes_A \text{id}_{\mathcal{E}_2} \in \mathbb{B}^G(L^2(G, \mathcal{E}_2), \mathcal{E}_{12})$ . The same simple computation that yields (14) shows that

$$|\xi \otimes \eta\rangle = (|\xi\rangle \otimes \text{id}_{\mathcal{E}_2}) \circ \rho_\eta,$$

where  $\rho_\eta$  is defined by (34). As a result,  $\mathcal{R}_{12} \subseteq (\mathcal{E}_{12})_{\text{si}}$  and

$$|\mathcal{R}_{12}\rangle = |\mathcal{R}_1 \otimes \mathcal{E}_2\rangle = (|\mathcal{R}_1\rangle \otimes \text{id}_{\mathcal{E}_2}) \circ \rho(\mathcal{E}_2).$$

By definition,  $\mathcal{F}_{12} := \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{E}_{12}, \mathcal{R}_{12})$  is the closed linear span of  $|\mathcal{R}_{12}\rangle \circ C_r^*(G, B)$ . The discussion of  $C_r^*(G, \mathcal{E})$  above shows that the closed linear span of  $\rho(\mathcal{E}_2) \circ C_r^*(G, B)$  equals  $C_r^*(G, \mathcal{E}_2)$ . Hence  $\mathcal{F}_{12}$  equals the closed linear span of  $(\mathcal{F}_1 \otimes \text{id}_{\mathcal{E}_2}) \circ C_r^*(G, \mathcal{E}_2)$ . Equation (5) yields that the map

$$\mathcal{F}_1 \otimes_{C_r^*(G, A)} C_r^*(G, \mathcal{E}_2) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{12}, \quad \xi \otimes \eta \mapsto (\xi \otimes_A \text{id}_{\mathcal{E}_2}) \circ \eta$$

is an isometry of Hilbert modules over  $C_r^*(G, B)$ . Hence  $\mathcal{R}_{12}$  is relatively continuous and satisfies (35).  $\square$

A consequence of the proof (or of (35) and Corollary 6.6) is that the construction  $\mathcal{R}_1 \mapsto \mathcal{R}_{12}$  is compatible with completions. That is, if the completions of  $\mathcal{R}_1$  and  $\mathcal{R}'_1$  are equal, then the same holds for  $\mathcal{R}_{12}$  and  $\mathcal{R}'_{12}$ .

We consider some important special cases of Theorem 7.1.

**Corollary 7.2.** *Let  $(A, \mathcal{R})$  be a continuously square-integrable  $C^*$ -algebra and let  $\phi: A \rightarrow \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{E})$  be an equivariant, essential  $*$ -homomorphism.*

*Then  $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{E}) \subseteq \mathcal{E}$  is a dense, relatively continuous subset, and*

$$\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{E})) \cong \mathcal{F}(A, \mathcal{R}) \otimes_{C_r^*(G, A)} C_r^*(G, \mathcal{E}).$$

*Proof.* The isomorphism  $A \otimes_A \mathcal{E} \cong \mathcal{E}$  maps  $\mathcal{R} \otimes \mathcal{E}$  onto the linear span of  $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{E})$ .  $\square$

In particular, if  $\mathbb{K}(\mathcal{E})$  is continuously square-integrable, so is  $\mathcal{E}$ . Conversely:

**Corollary 7.3.** *Let  $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{R})$  be a continuously square-integrable Hilbert  $B, G$ -module. Then the linear span of*

$$|\mathcal{R}\rangle\langle\mathcal{E}| := \{|\xi\rangle\langle\eta| \mid \xi \in \mathcal{R}, \eta \in \mathcal{E}\}$$

*is a dense, relatively continuous subspace of  $\mathbb{K}(\mathcal{E})$ . We have*

$$\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{K}(\mathcal{E}), |\mathcal{R}\rangle\langle\mathcal{E}|) \cong \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{R}) \otimes_{C_r^*(G, B)} C_r^*(G, \mathcal{E}^*).$$

*Proof.* The assertion follows from (6) and Theorem 7.1.  $\square$

Let  $S(\mathcal{E})$  and  $S\mathbb{K}(\mathcal{E})$  be the sets of all dense, complete, relatively continuous subspaces of  $\mathcal{E}$  and  $\mathbb{K}(\mathcal{E})$ , respectively. Corollaries 7.2 and 7.3 give rise to maps

$$i: S(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow S\mathbb{K}(\mathcal{E}), \quad j: S\mathbb{K}(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow S(\mathcal{E}).$$

We analyze whether these two maps are inverse to each other. Recall that a group  $G$  is *exact* if and only if

$$C_r^*(G, I) = \ker(C_r^*(G, B) \rightarrow C_r^*(G, B/I))$$

whenever  $I \subseteq B$  is an invariant closed ideal in a  $G$ - $C^*$ -algebra  $B$ .

**Theorem 7.4.** *For all  $G$  and  $B$  and all Hilbert  $B, G$ -modules  $\mathcal{E}$ , the composition  $i \circ j$  is the identity map on  $S\mathbb{K}(\mathcal{E})$  and  $j \circ i(\mathcal{R}) \subseteq \mathcal{R}$  for all  $\mathcal{R} \in S(\mathcal{E})$ .*

*If the group  $G$  is exact, then  $j \circ i$  is the identity map on  $S(\mathcal{E})$ . Conversely, if  $G$  is not exact, then there are Hilbert modules  $\mathcal{E}$  for which  $j \circ i$  is not the identity map.*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{R} \in S\mathbb{K}(\mathcal{E})$ . Then  $j(\mathcal{R})$  is the completion of  $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{E}) \subseteq \mathcal{E}$ . Since  $i$  is compatible with completions,  $i \circ j(\mathcal{R})$  is the completion of  $|\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{E})\rangle\langle\mathcal{E}| = \mathcal{R} \circ |\mathcal{E}\rangle\langle\mathcal{E}|$ . The linear span of  $\mathcal{R} \circ |\mathcal{E}\rangle\langle\mathcal{E}|$  is a dense subspace of  $\mathcal{R}$  by Corollary 6.7. Hence the completion of  $\mathcal{R} \circ |\mathcal{E}\rangle\langle\mathcal{E}|$  equals  $\mathcal{R}$ . This proves  $i \circ j = \text{id}$ .

Conversely, let  $\mathcal{R} \in S(\mathcal{E})$  and  $\mathcal{F} := \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{R})$ . Then  $j \circ i(\mathcal{R})$  is the completion of  $|\mathcal{R}\rangle\langle\mathcal{E}|(\mathcal{E}) = \mathcal{R} \cdot \langle\mathcal{E} | \mathcal{E}\rangle$ . Hence  $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{E}, j i(\mathcal{R}))$  is the closed linear span of  $\mathcal{F} \cdot \langle\mathcal{E} | \mathcal{E}\rangle$ . Let  $I \subseteq B$  be the closed ideal generated by  $\langle\mathcal{E} | \mathcal{E}\rangle$ . Cohen's Factorization Theorem and Corollary 6.7 show that

$$j \circ i(\mathcal{R}) = \mathcal{R} \cdot I \subseteq \mathcal{R}, \quad \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{E}, j i(\mathcal{R})) = \mathcal{F} \cdot I \subseteq \mathcal{F}.$$

Let  $J \subseteq C_r^*(G, B)$  be the closed ideal generated by  $\langle\mathcal{F} | \mathcal{F}\rangle$ . If  $J \subseteq C_r^*(G, I)$ , then we may view  $\mathcal{F}$  as a Hilbert module over  $C_r^*(G, I)$ . Hence  $\mathcal{F} \cdot I = \mathcal{F}$ . Conversely, if  $\mathcal{F} \cdot I = \mathcal{F}$ , then  $J \cdot I = J$  and hence  $J \subseteq C_r^*(G, B) \cdot I = C_r^*(G, I)$ . Therefore,

$$j \circ i(\mathcal{R}) = \mathcal{R} \iff J \subseteq C_r^*(G, I).$$

If  $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{R}$ , then  $\langle\langle\xi | \eta\rangle\rangle(g) \in I$  for all  $g \in G$  by (20). Therefore,  $\langle\langle\xi | \eta\rangle\rangle$  is annihilated by the canonical map  $C_r^*(G, B) \rightarrow C_r^*(G, B/I)$ . If  $G$  is exact, this implies that  $\langle\langle\xi | \eta\rangle\rangle \in C_r^*(G, I)$ . Hence  $J \subseteq C_r^*(G, I)$  and thus  $j \circ i(\mathcal{R}) = \mathcal{R}$ .

Suppose that  $G$  is not exact and that  $I \subseteq B$  is an invariant ideal for which  $C_r^*(G, I)$  is strictly smaller than the kernel  $K$  of the map  $C_r^*(G, B) \rightarrow C_r^*(G, B/I)$ . View  $K$  as a Hilbert module over  $C_r^*(G, B)$  and let  $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{R})$  be the associated continuously square-integrable Hilbert module according to Theorem 6.5. Then  $\langle\langle\mathcal{R} | \mathcal{R}\rangle\rangle \subseteq K$ . This implies  $\langle\xi | \eta\rangle \in I$  for all  $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{R}$  by (20). Hence  $\langle\mathcal{E} | \mathcal{E}\rangle \subseteq I$ . However,  $J = K$  is not contained in  $C_r^*(G, I)$ . Hence  $\mathcal{R} \neq \mathcal{R} \cdot I$ .  $\square$

We remark that the identity  $i \circ j = \text{id}$  is equivalent to the isomorphism

$$C_r^*(G, \mathcal{E}) \otimes_{C_r^*(G, B)} C_r^*(G, \mathcal{E}^*) \cong C_r^*(G, \mathcal{E} \otimes_B \mathcal{E}^*) \cong C_r^*(G, \mathbb{K}(\mathcal{E})).$$

## 8. SOME COUNTEREXAMPLES

In this section, we consider a simple special case in which a complete description of the square-integrable and continuously square-integrable Hilbert modules is possible. We assume that  $B = \mathbb{C}$  and that  $G$  is Abelian,  $\sigma$ -compact, and metrizable, but not compact. Hence the Pontrjagin dual  $\hat{G}$  of  $G$  is not discrete. For instance, we may take  $G = \mathbb{Z}^n$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ .

Since  $C_r^*(G, B) \cong C_0(\hat{G})$ , countably generated Hilbert modules over  $C_r^*(G, B)$  correspond to continuous fields of separable Hilbert spaces over  $\hat{G}$ . Let  $(\mathcal{H}_x)_{x \in \hat{G}}$  be a continuous field of Hilbert spaces. The associated Hilbert module over  $C_0(\hat{G})$  is  $C_0(\hat{G}, (\mathcal{H}_x))$ , the space of continuous sections of  $(\mathcal{H}_x)$  vanishing at infinity. The  $C^*$ -algebra of compact operators on this Hilbert module is isomorphic to  $C_0(\hat{G}, \mathbb{K}(\mathcal{H}_x))$ , where  $(\mathbb{K}(\mathcal{H}_x))_{x \in \hat{G}}$  carries the canonical bundle structure.

A countably generated Hilbert  $B, G$ -module is nothing but a representation of  $G$  on a separable Hilbert space. By the Equivariant Stabilization Theorem, a  $G$ -Hilbert space is square-integrable if and only if it is a direct summand in  $(L^2 G)^\infty \cong L^2(\hat{G}, dx)^\infty$ , where  $dx$  denotes the Haar measure on  $\hat{G}$ . Therefore, a  $G$ -Hilbert space is square-integrable iff it is equivalent to a Hilbert space of square-integrable sections of some measurable field of Hilbert spaces over  $\hat{G}$ , equipped with the canonical representation of  $G$  by pointwise multiplication. Two measurable fields yield equivalent representations of  $G$  iff they are isomorphic outside a set of Haar measure zero.

Measurable fields of Hilbert spaces are classified by the *dimension function*

$$d: \hat{G} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{N}} := \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty, 0\}$$

that associates to  $x \in \hat{G}$  the dimension of the fiber over  $x$ . The function  $d$  is measurable, and any measurable function arises as the dimension function of a measurable field. We say that an assertion holds a.e. (almost everywhere) iff it holds outside a set of measure zero. Two measurable fields are isomorphic a.e. if and only if the dimension functions agree a.e.. Hence isomorphism classes of square-integrable, separable  $G$ -Hilbert spaces correspond to a.e.-equality classes of measurable functions  $\hat{G} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{N}}$ .

Let  $(\mathcal{H}_x)_{x \in \hat{G}}$  be a continuous field of Hilbert spaces over  $\hat{G}$ . If we view  $(\mathcal{H}_x)$  as a Hilbert module over  $C_r^*G$  and apply the functor  $\sqcup \otimes_{C_r^*G} L^2G$ , we get the Hilbert space of square-integrable sections of  $(\mathcal{H}_x)_{x \in \hat{G}}$  with the representation of  $G$  by pointwise multiplication. Hence the functor  $\sqcup \otimes_{C_r^*G} L^2G$  forgets everything about the field  $(\mathcal{H}_x)$  except the a.e.-equality class of its dimension function.

The dimension function of a continuous field of Hilbert spaces is automatically lower semi-continuous. Therefore, if  $d: \hat{G} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{N}}$  is not equal a.e. to a lower semi-continuous function, then the corresponding square-integrable representation cannot come from a Hilbert module over  $C_r^*G$ . To construct examples of such measurable functions, let  $d$  be the characteristic function of a compact subset  $K \subseteq \hat{G}$ . Suppose that  $d': \hat{G} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{N}}$  is lower semi-continuous and that  $d' \leq d$  a.e.. It follows that  $d' \leq 1$  and that  $d' = 0$  on the open set  $\hat{G} \setminus K$ . Thus  $d'$  is the characteristic function of an open subset  $U \subseteq K$ . If  $K$  is a compact set with non-zero Haar measure and empty interior, then  $d' = 0$  is the only lower semi-continuous dimension function with  $d' \leq d$  a.e.. Nevertheless,  $d' \neq d$  a.e..

The square-integrable  $G$ -Hilbert space associated to  $d$  is  $L^2(K, dx)$ , on which  $G$  acts by pointwise multiplication. Suppose that  $\mathcal{R} \subseteq L^2(K, dx)$  is relatively continuous and complete. Let  $\mathcal{H} \subseteq L^2(K)$  be the closure of  $\mathcal{R}$ . Then  $(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{R})$  is continuously square-integrable. Therefore, the dimension function of  $\mathcal{H}$  is lower semi-continuous. By construction of  $d$  this implies that  $\mathcal{H} = \{0\}$ . Consequently,  $\{0\}$  is the only relatively continuous subset of the square-integrable  $G$ -Hilbert space  $L^2(K, dx)$ .

Conversely, if the dimension function of a separable  $G$ -Hilbert space is lower semi-continuous, there is a dense, relatively continuous subspace. The proof is left to the reader. However, this subspace is never unique. Even more, there are many Hilbert modules  $\mathcal{F}$  over  $C_r^*G$  for which  $\mathcal{F} \otimes_{C_r^*G} L^2G \cong L^2G$ . The most obvious source of non-uniqueness is modification on a set of measure zero. Let  $S \subseteq \hat{G}$  be a closed subset of measure zero (for instance, a finite subset). The ideal

$$I_S = \{f \in C_0(\hat{G}) \mid f|_S = 0\} \subseteq C_0(\hat{G})$$

may be viewed as a Hilbert module over  $C_0(\hat{G})$  and thus as a continuous field of Hilbert spaces over  $\hat{G}$ . Its dimension function is the characteristic function of  $\hat{G} \setminus S$  and hence equal to 1 a.e.. Thus  $I_S \otimes_{C_r^*G} L^2G \cong L^2G$ . The generalized fixed point algebra in this example is  $I_S$ . Hence a generalized fixed point algebra for  $L^2G$  need not be isomorphic to  $C_0(\hat{G})$ , not even Morita-Rieffel equivalent to  $C_0(\hat{G})$ .

The lack of uniqueness observed above can be overcome by restricting attention to *maximal* relatively continuous subsets, that is, relatively continuous subsets that are not contained in any larger relatively continuous subset. Since  $I_S \subseteq C_0(\hat{G})$ , the subspace  $\mathcal{R}_{I_S}$  cannot be maximal. However, even if we insist on maximality, we do not obtain uniqueness of the generalized fixed point algebra, because a continuous field is not yet determined by its dimension function.

If  $(\mathcal{H}_x)_{x \in \hat{G}}$  is a continuous field of Hilbert spaces with  $\dim \mathcal{H}_x = n$  for all  $x \in \hat{G}$ , then  $(\mathcal{H}_x)$  “is” an  $n$ -dimensional complex vector bundle over  $\hat{G}$ . That is, there is an  $n$ -dimensional complex vector bundle  $E$  over  $\hat{G}$  such that the space of continuous

sections of  $(\mathcal{H}_x)$  is isomorphic to  $C_0(\hat{G}, E)$  as a module over  $C_0(\hat{G})$ . The corresponding square-integrable representation of  $G$  is  $L^2(G)^n$  because the dimension function is constant.

We denote the  $n$ -dimensional trivial vector bundle by  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . The generalized fixed point algebra associated to a vector bundle  $E \rightarrow \hat{G}$  is  $C_0(\hat{G}, \text{End}(E))$ . Especially,

$$C_0(\hat{G}, \text{End}(\mathbb{C}^n)) \cong C_0(\hat{G}, \mathbb{M}_n).$$

If  $E$  is a line bundle, then  $\text{End}(E) \cong E \otimes E^*$  is trivial. Hence the generalized fixed point algebras associated to vector bundles  $E$  and  $E'$  are isomorphic if  $E' \cong E \otimes L$  for a complex line bundle  $L$ . The converse also holds: If the generalized fixed point algebras are isomorphic, then  $E$  and  $E'$  differ by tensoring with a line bundle. We leave the proof as an exercise in vector bundle theory for the interested reader.

In particular, the generalized fixed point algebra equals  $C_0(\hat{G}, \mathbb{M}_n)$  if and only if  $E \cong \mathbb{C}^n \otimes L = L \oplus L \oplus \cdots \oplus L$  is a direct sum of  $n$  copies of the same line bundle. This can be shown easily by observing how the matrix units in  $\mathbb{M}_n$  operate on  $E$ . Hence if  $E \oplus \mathbb{C}$  is of this form, then  $E$  has to be trivial. As a result, whenever there is a non-trivial vector bundle over  $\hat{G}$ , we can find one for which the generalized fixed point algebra is not isomorphic to  $C_0(\hat{G}, \mathbb{M}_n)$ . However, the resulting generalized fixed point algebras are always Morita-Rieffel equivalent to  $C_0(\hat{G})$ .

We claim that the relatively continuous subset  $\mathcal{R}$  of  $L^2(G)^n$  associated to a vector bundle  $(\mathcal{H}_x)$  over  $\hat{G}$  is always maximal. Hence we cannot rule out the lack of uniqueness of  $\text{Fix}(L^2(G)^n, \mathcal{R})$  by requiring maximality. If  $\mathcal{R} \subseteq \mathcal{R}'$  and  $\mathcal{R}'$  is relatively continuous, then  $\mathcal{F}(L^2(G)^n, \mathcal{R}')$  corresponds to a continuous field of Hilbert spaces  $(\mathcal{H}'_x)$  over  $\hat{G}$ . We have  $\mathcal{H}_x \subseteq \mathcal{H}'_x$  for all  $x$  and  $\dim \mathcal{H}'_x \leq n$  outside a set of measure zero. Lower semi-continuity implies that  $\dim \mathcal{H}'_x = \dim \mathcal{H}_x = n$  for all  $x$ . Therefore,  $(\mathcal{H}'_x) = (\mathcal{H}_x)$  and hence  $\mathcal{R}' = \mathcal{R}$ .

Finally, we claim that no non-zero continuously square-integrable  $G$ -Hilbert space is ideal. Let  $(\mathcal{H}_s)_{s \in \hat{G}}$  be a non-zero continuous field of Hilbert spaces over  $\hat{G}$ . Choose a non-zero continuous section  $f \in C_0(\hat{G}, (\mathcal{H}_s))$ . Let  $U \subseteq \hat{G}$  be an open set with  $f(s) \neq 0$  for all  $s \in U$ . There is a bounded, positive, measurable function  $\phi: \hat{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  whose restriction to  $U$  is not equal a.e. to a continuous function. Hence  $\phi^2 \cdot \|f\|^2$  is not equal a.e. to a continuous function, so that the square-integrable section  $\phi \cdot f$  of  $(\mathcal{H}_x)$  is not continuous. Therefore, the operator of pointwise multiplication by  $\phi$  is not  $\mathcal{R}$ -continuous, although it is equivariant and adjointable.

## 9. PROPER COEFFICIENTS

The last section shows that there are significant differences between continuously square-integrable, square-integrable, and arbitrary equivariant Hilbert modules for  $B = \mathbb{C}$ . Nevertheless, if the group action on  $B$  is “sufficiently proper”, these differences disappear. That is, any Hilbert  $B, G$ -module is square-integrable and contains a unique dense, complete, relatively continuous subspace. This happens if  $B$  is proper in Kasparov’s sense and, more generally, if the induced group action on the (not necessarily separated) spectrum of  $B$  is proper.

**Definition 9.1.** Let  $X$  be a not necessarily separated topological space. Let  $G$  be a locally compact group and let  $G \times X \rightarrow X$  be a continuous action of  $G$  on  $X$ . We call  $X$  a *proper  $G$ -space* iff for all  $x, y \in X$  there are neighborhoods  $U_x$  and  $U_y$  of  $x$  and  $y$  in  $X$  such that the set

$$\{g \in G \mid g(U_x) \cap U_y \neq \emptyset\} \subseteq G$$

is relatively compact.

We call  $K \subseteq X$  *quasi-compact* iff any open covering of  $K$  has a finite subcovering and *relatively quasi-compact* iff  $K$  is contained in a quasi-compact subset of  $X$ .

The following lemma shows that Definition 9.1 contains the usual definition of proper actions on separated, locally compact spaces.

**Lemma 9.2.** *Let  $X$  be a not necessarily separated, proper  $G$ -space. Let  $K, L \subseteq X$  be relatively quasi-compact. Then there are open neighborhoods  $U_K$  and  $U_L$  of  $K$  and  $L$ , respectively, such that the set*

$$\{g \in G \mid g(U_K) \cap U_L \neq \emptyset\} \subseteq G$$

*is relatively compact.*

*Proof.* The proof is a straightforward exercise in topology. We may assume without loss of generality that  $K$  and  $L$  are quasi-compact, not just relatively quasi-compact. For  $x \in K$ ,  $y \in L$ , there are open neighborhoods  $U_x^{xy}$ ,  $U_y^{xy}$  of  $x$  and  $y$  such that  $g(U_x^{xy}) \cap U_y^{xy} = \emptyset$  for all  $g$  outside a compact subset of  $G$ , because the action on  $X$  is proper. By quasi-compactness, for fixed  $x$  finitely many of the open sets  $U_y^{xy}$  cover  $L$ . Let  $U_L^x$  be their union and let  $U_x^x$  be the intersection of the corresponding  $U_x^{xy}$ . Then  $(U_x^x)_{x \in K}$  is an open covering of  $K$ . Finitely many of these sets suffice to cover  $K$ . Let  $U_K$  be their union and let  $U_L$  be the intersection of the corresponding open neighborhoods  $U_L^x$  of  $L$ . These sets have the desired properties.  $\square$

Let  $B$  be a  $G$ - $C^*$ -algebra and let  $P$  be its primitive ideal space, equipped with the Jacobson topology and the continuous action of  $G$  defined by  $g \cdot \mathfrak{p} := \{\beta_g(b) \mid b \in \mathfrak{p}\}$  for  $g \in G$  and  $\mathfrak{p} \in P$ . It makes no difference to use the space of irreducible representations of  $B$  instead because we only use the lattice of open subsets of  $P$ .

**Definition 9.3.** A  $G$ - $C^*$ -algebra is called *spectrally proper* iff its primitive ideal space is a proper  $G$ -space.

We claim that proper  $G$ - $C^*$ -algebras are spectrally proper. Let  $X$  be a proper, locally compact  $G$ -space. By the Dauns-Hoffmann theorem, the center of  $\mathcal{M}(B)$  is isomorphic to  $C_b(P)$ . It follows that essential  $*$ -homomorphisms from  $C_0(X)$  to the center of  $\mathcal{M}(B)$  correspond to continuous maps  $P \rightarrow X$  [6]. As a result,  $B$  is a proper  $G$ - $C^*$ -algebra iff there is an equivariant, continuous map  $P \rightarrow X$  for a separated, locally compact, proper  $G$ -space  $X$ . This implies that  $P$  is proper, that is,  $B$  is spectrally proper.

We recall some well-known facts about the primitive ideal space to fix our notation. If  $b \in B$ ,  $\mathfrak{p} \in P$ , let  $b_{\mathfrak{p}}$  be the image of  $b$  in the quotient  $B/\mathfrak{p}$ . Open subsets  $U \subseteq P$  correspond to closed ideals in  $B$  via

$$U \mapsto B_U := \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \in P \setminus U} \mathfrak{p} = \{b \in B \mid b_{\mathfrak{p}} = 0 \text{ for all } \mathfrak{p} \in P \setminus U\}.$$

We have  $U_1 \subseteq U_2$  if and only if  $B_{U_1} \subseteq B_{U_2}$ . If  $U_1, U_2 \subseteq P$  are relatively quasi-compact and open, the same holds for  $U_1 \cup U_2$ . Hence the family  $\mathcal{C}$  of all relatively quasi-compact, open subsets of  $P$  is directed. Therefore, the union

$$B_c := \bigcup_{U \in \mathcal{C}} B_U$$

is a  $*$ -ideal in  $B$ . This ideal is dense in  $B$  because the sets

$$U_{b,t} := \{\mathfrak{p} \in P \mid \|b_{\mathfrak{p}}\| > t\}$$

are open and relatively quasi-compact for all  $b \in B$ ,  $t > 0$ . Functional calculus allows us to approximate  $b$  in norm by elements of  $U_{b,t}$  with  $t > 0$ .

**Theorem 9.4.** *Let  $B$  be a spectrally proper  $G$ - $C^*$ -algebra and let  $\mathcal{E}$  be a  $G$ -equivariant Hilbert module over  $B$ . Let  $B_c$  be defined as above and let  $\mathcal{E}_c := \mathcal{E} \cdot B_c$ . Then  $\langle\langle \mathcal{E}_c \mid \mathcal{E}_c \rangle\rangle \subseteq C_c(G, B)$  and  $\mathcal{E}_c$  is a dense, relatively continuous subspace of  $\mathcal{E}$ . In particular,  $\mathcal{E}$  is square-integrable.*

*The completion  $\mathcal{R}_0$  of  $\mathcal{E}_c$  is the only dense, complete, relatively continuous subspace of  $\mathcal{E}$ . We have  $\xi \in \mathcal{R}_0$  if and only if  $\xi \in \mathcal{E}_{\text{si}}$  and  $\langle\langle \xi \mid \xi \rangle\rangle \in C_r^*(G, B)$ . Any relatively continuous subset of  $\mathcal{E}$  is contained in  $\mathcal{R}_0$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $U \subseteq P$  be open and relatively compact and let  $\mathcal{E}_U := \mathcal{E} \cdot B_U$ . By Cohen's Factorization Theorem,  $\mathcal{E}_U$  is a closed linear subspace of  $\mathcal{E}$ . The subset

$$V := \{g \in G \mid gU \cap U \neq \emptyset\} \subseteq G$$

is open and relatively compact by Lemma 9.2. We may write elements of  $\mathcal{E}_U$  in the form  $\xi \cdot b$ ,  $\eta \cdot c$  with  $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{E}$ ,  $b, c \in B_U$ . Equation (20) yields

$$\langle\langle \xi \cdot b \mid \eta \cdot c \rangle\rangle(g) = b^* \cdot \langle\langle \xi \mid \gamma_g(\eta) \rangle\rangle \cdot \beta_g(c) \in B_U \cdot B \cdot \beta_g(B_U) \subseteq B_{gU \cap U}.$$

Hence  $\langle\langle \mathcal{E}_U \mid \mathcal{E}_U \rangle\rangle \subseteq C_0(V, B) \subseteq C_c(G, B)$ . It follows that  $\langle\langle \mathcal{E}_c \mid \mathcal{E}_c \rangle\rangle \subseteq C_c(G, B)$ . Since  $B_c$  is dense in  $B$ ,  $\mathcal{E}_c$  is dense in  $\mathcal{E}$ . Proposition 6.8 yields  $\mathcal{E}_c \subseteq \mathcal{E}_{\text{si}}$ , so that  $\mathcal{E}_c \subseteq \mathcal{E}$  is a dense, relatively continuous subspace and  $\mathcal{E}$  is square-integrable.

Since  $\|\langle\langle \xi \mid \xi \rangle\rangle(g)\| \leq \|\xi\|^2$ , it follows that  $\|\langle\langle \xi \mid \xi \rangle\rangle\| \leq C_U \cdot \|\xi\|$  for all  $\xi \in \mathcal{E}_U$  with some  $C_U > 0$ . Hence the norms  $\|\cdot\|$  and  $\|\cdot\|_{\text{si}}$  are equivalent on  $\mathcal{E}_U$ .

Let  $\mathcal{R} \subseteq \mathcal{E}$  be a dense, complete, relatively continuous subspace. We claim that  $\mathcal{R}$  contains  $\mathcal{E}_c$ , so that  $\mathcal{R}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{R}$ . Corollary 6.7 implies that  $\mathcal{R} \cdot B_U \subseteq \mathcal{R}$ . Since  $\mathcal{R}$  is dense in  $\mathcal{E}$ ,  $\mathcal{R} \cdot B_U$  is dense in  $\mathcal{E}_U$  with respect to the norm  $\|\cdot\|$  and hence also with respect to the norm  $\|\cdot\|_{\text{si}}$  because these two norms are equivalent on  $\mathcal{E}_U$ . Since  $\mathcal{R}$  is complete, it follows that  $\mathcal{E}_U \subseteq \mathcal{R}$ . Hence  $\mathcal{E}_c \subseteq \mathcal{R}$ .

If  $\xi \in \mathcal{R}_0$ , then  $\xi \in \mathcal{E}_{\text{si}}$  and  $\langle\langle \xi \mid \xi \rangle\rangle \in C_r^*(G, B)$  by Corollary 6.6. Assume conversely that  $\xi \in \mathcal{E}_{\text{si}}$  and  $\langle\langle \xi \mid \xi \rangle\rangle \in C_r^*(G, B)$ . We claim that  $\xi \in \mathcal{R}_0$ . Since  $B_c \subset B$  is a dense  $*$ -ideal, there is an approximate identity  $(u_i)_{i \in I}$  for  $B$  with  $u_i \in B_c$  for all  $i \in I$ . Thus  $\xi \cdot u_i \in \mathcal{E}_c \subseteq \mathcal{R}_0$  for all  $i \in I$ . Let  $\mathcal{R} \subseteq \mathcal{E}_{\text{si}}$  be the completion of  $\{\xi\}$ . Corollary 6.7 applied to  $\mathcal{R}$  yields that  $\xi \cdot u_i \rightarrow \xi$  in the norm  $\|\cdot\|_{\text{si}}$ . Hence  $\xi \in \mathcal{R}_0$  as asserted.

Therefore, any relatively continuous subset  $\mathcal{R} \subseteq \mathcal{E}$  is contained in  $\mathcal{R}_0$ .  $\square$

As a consequence, we obtain the following result of Kasparov and Skandalis.

**Corollary 9.5.** *Let  $B$  be a spectrally proper  $G$ - $C^*$ -algebra. Then the functor*

$$\mathcal{F} \mapsto \mathcal{F} \otimes_{C_r^*(G, B)} L^2(G, B)$$

*is an equivalence between the  $C^*$ -categories of Hilbert modules over  $C_r^*(G, B)$  and  $G$ -equivariant Hilbert modules over  $B$ . That is, any  $G$ -equivariant Hilbert module  $\mathcal{E}$  over  $B$  arises in this way for a unique Hilbert module  $\mathcal{F}$  over  $C_r^*(G, B)$ , and the map  $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \mathbb{B}^G(\mathcal{E})$  is an isomorphism.*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{E}$  be a Hilbert  $B, G$ -module. By Theorem 9.4, there is a unique dense, complete, relatively continuous subset  $\mathcal{R} \subseteq \mathcal{E}$ . Hence there is no difference between continuously square-integrable Hilbert  $B, G$ -modules and Hilbert  $B, G$ -modules. Theorem 6.5 shows that isomorphism classes of Hilbert modules over  $C_r^*(G, B)$  and Hilbert  $B, G$ -modules correspond to each other bijectively. Since  $\mathcal{R} \subseteq \mathcal{E}$  is unique, we have  $u(\mathcal{R}) = \mathcal{R}$  for all  $u \in \mathbb{B}^G(\mathcal{E})$ . Hence  $\mathbb{B}^G(\mathcal{E}) \cong \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{F})$  by Corollary 5.5.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] Étienne Blanchard, *Déformations de  $C^*$ -algèbres de Hopf*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **124** (1996), no. 1, 141–215.
- [2] A. Connes, *A survey of foliations and operator algebras*, Operator algebras and applications, Part I (Kingston, Ont., 1980), Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1982, pp. 521–628.

- [3] Ruy Exel, *Morita-Rieffel equivalence and spectral theory for integrable automorphism groups of  $C^*$ -algebras*, J. Funct. Anal. **172** (2000), no. 2, 404–465.
- [4] G. G. Kasparov, *Equivariant  $KK$ -theory and the Novikov conjecture*, Invent. Math. **91** (1988), no. 1, 147–201.
- [5] Ralf Meyer, *Equivariant Kasparov theory and generalized homomorphisms*, K-Theory, to appear.
- [6] May Nilsen,  *$C^*$ -bundles and  $C_0(X)$ -algebras*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **45** (1996), no. 2, 463–477.
- [7] Jean Renault, *Représentation des produits croisés d’algèbres de groupoïdes*, J. Operator Theory **18** (1987), no. 1, 67–97.
- [8] Marc A. Rieffel, *Integrable and proper actions on  $C^*$ -algebras, and square-integrable representations of groups*, math.OA/9809098 v2, 22 Jun 1999.
- [9] ———, *Proper actions of groups on  $C^*$ -algebras*, Mappings of operator algebras (Philadelphia, PA, 1988), Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1990, pp. 141–182.

MATHEMATISCHES INSTITUT, WESTFÄLISCHE WILHELMS-UNIVERSITÄT MÜNSTER, EINSTEINSTR.  
62, 48149 MÜNSTER, GERMANY

*E-mail address:* `rameyer@math.uni-muenster.de`

*URL:* `http://www.math.uni-muenster.de/u/rameyer`